

A Tentative Approach To the Evaluation Model of Web-based Distance Education For the Deaf

Li Qiang

Technical College for the Deaf,
Tianjin University of Technology
Tianjin,China

Bao Guodong

Technical College for the Deaf,
Tianjin University of Technology
Tianjin,China

ABSTRACT:

Building an effective evaluation model has been one of the important subjects for distance education workers. Based on the features and requirements of web-education, the authors design an instructional evaluation model for web-based distance education for the deaf. This paper is aimed to introduce the model.

Key words: the deaf; evaluation of Web-based instruction; evaluation factors; evaluation model

1. FORWARD

The evaluation of Web-based instruction is the subcategory of education evaluation. It has three significations(value judgment, development estimation, reference criterion).Web-based instruction has its own bright feature, compareing with traditional instruction, such as separating teaching from learning, independent learning course(The learner must be self control, adult learner is as usual),and so on. Thus the evaluation of Web-based instruction also has its own bright feature.

2. FEATURES OF THE EVALUATION OF WEB-BASED INSTRUCTION

The evaluation of Web-based instruction emphasizes process assessment, that is, it focuses on the real-time monitoring of the instruction process. It includes assessing the effect of intelligent instruction and such teaching methods as search, discover, compete, co-operate and role-play, and evaluating students' initiative, self-controlling and leaning effect. The evaluation objects include not only the four factors of the traditional instructional system—the student, the teacher, the instruction content and the medium (i.e. the supporting platform of

Web-education), but also the support service systems. The recording function of the supporting system can collect information of the instruction activity for evaluation so as to allow a dynamic assessment and control of the Web-based instruction. The technological advantage of the Internet can not only shorten the evaluation cycle, supply timely feedback of the evaluation results, but also reduce the cost and make fewer technological demands on the school administrative authorities when they carry out the assessment.

3.THE EVALUATION MODEL OF WEB-BASED INSTRUCTION FOR THE DEAF

Different evaluation targets and objects require different ways of assessment. So if we want to fully assess the teaching/learning process and effectiveness, we have to make indexes and elements which are suitable for the evaluation of Web-based instruction. Only in this way can we promote Web-education.

In view of the characteristics of the Web-based instruction evaluation and the deaf students, we build the following evaluation model of Web-based instruction. It includes the assessments of the student, the teacher, the learning material, the instructional supporting system, and the supporting/service learning system. Each item contains several subcategories.

The model shows that the assessment of Web-based instruction depends on the implementation of the instructional evaluation model. It includes qualitative and quantitative indexes. There are two ways of assessment, process assessment (or formative assessment) and conclusion assessment. It emphasizes the role of formative assessment in promoting teaching and learning.

4.EVALUATION PROCESS

The evaluation of Web-based instruction is a dynamic process. Although there are different ways of assessment, preparation, implementation, processing and feedback are the four inevitable phrases as the chart shows.

4.1 Preparation

(1) Set the evaluation target of Web-based higher education for the deaf.

(2) Choose the information source and the processing method.

According to the decided evaluation object, the evaluation information may come from scores, the quantized evaluation index, the evaluation quantitative form, the activity log, the daily record of class activity, feedback letters and so on. Together with the index system, we can then decide the information processing method.

(3) Create examination paper, evaluation quantitative form, or questionnaire.

The Evaluation Model of Web-based Instruction

The flow chart of the evaluation process of Web-based instruction

Turn to the appendix

4.2 Implementation

As for the deaf student, we can use the quantitative form.

(1) Test or hand out the evaluation quantitative form
If it is a test, the testing time and rules should be displayed at the end of the service system.

If it is the evaluation quantitative form, the form should be sent to the e-mail box of every student with the date for handing back. The number of the handed-out form should be recorded.

(2) Get the information, eliminate the invalid information and carry out error diagnosis

Count the number of the forms handed back, eliminate invalid forms and decide the validity of the evaluation.

4.3 Processing

(1) Process and count the information according to weight. The system will automatically count the score of the evaluation quantitative form.

(2) Analyze the statistics and make overall diagnosis.

The evaluation staff analyzes the evaluation quantitative form, the activity log, the daily record of class activity, feedback letters and then make overall diagnosis.

(3) Form the overall diagnosis and analyze problems.

The aim of the evaluation is to provide a basis for the improvement of the instruction and the system. The evaluation staff should write down the evaluation result according to the assessment criteria. They also have to analyze problems and find out the origin. The conclusion of the formative assessment includes: the measurement of, advice and guiding suggestions for

students' performance, the measurement of, advice and guiding suggestions for a teacher's performance, the assessment of and remedies for the routine course instruction, remedies for the system, improvement suggestions and remedies for the course content. The conclusion of the conclusion assessment should include the performance decision for both the teacher and the student.

4.4 Feedback

(1) Pre-feedback evaluation

This consists of estimating the quality of this evaluation, assessing the evaluation work, discovering problems and putting forward measures for improving the evaluation.

(2) Feedback

Bulletin and e-mail are the two ways to feed back the assessment of the student and the teacher. Both the teaching and learning activities should be assessed regularly. What is worth praising should be put on the bulletin while the improvement scheme should be fed back to the teacher or the student by e-mail.

The assessment of the system and the course content should be fed back in the form of evaluation report which contains the improvement measure and is submitted to the teacher or the system supervisor or the technician of the website.

(3) Reevaluation

Instructional evaluation is in itself a cycling process. A new cycle begins after the education decision. The teaching activity should be adjusted according to the instructional evaluation. The analysis of the plan, process and result of last evaluation can provide effective information for next evaluation. Therefore, the reevaluation of the evaluation results is both an end and a beginning.

5.CONCLUSION

Distance education for the deaf demands a better quality of the learner himself. In evaluating higher education for the deaf, we should make education our starting point, combine the advantage of Web-education and the sensitive sight of the deaf. Their successful combination can bridge the communication barrier of the deaf and promote deaf education to a new height.

6.REFERENCES


- [1]Magnus, K, Diener, E, Fujita, F, Pavot, W, Extraversion and neuroticism as predictors of objective life-events.J. Pers.Soc.Psychol,1993,65,1046-1053.
- [2]Markus.H ,Wurf.E, The dynamic self-concept: A social psychological perspective. Ann Rev Psychology,1987,38,299.
- [3]Mongrain.M, Zuroff.DC, Motivational and affective correlates of dependency and self -criticism. Personality and Individual Diferences,1996,16,1591-1598.
- [4]Monroe.SC ,S imons.A D,D iathesis-stressesin th ec ontexto fli festressre search:imp lic ati onso rth ed epressived isorders.Ps

- ychol Bull, 1991,110,406-425.
- [5]M.WEnns. B.J.Cox, Personality dimensions and depression. Canada Journal of Psychiatry,1997,42,274-282.
- [6]Nolen-Hoeksema, S.Larson J.Grayson C, Explaining the gender difference in depressive symptoms. Journal of personality and social psychology, 1999,77 (5)106-1072.
- [7]Oei, T. P. S., Goh, Y., Kwon, S.-M. A cross cultural study of the integrated cognitive model of depression.Current Psychology of Cognition,1996,15,26 5-281.
- [8]Oei,T .P. S. ,Su llivan.L, Cognitive changes following recovery from depression in a group CBT program.Australia and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry,1999,33,407-415.
- [9]Olinger,L .J, Kuiper,N.A ,Shaw,B.F, Dysfunctional attitudes and stressful life events: An interactive model of depression. Cognitive Therapy and Research,1987,11,25-40.
- [10]Paul J. Watson,Paul W. Andrews, Toward a revised evolutionary adaptationist analysis of depression: the social navigation hypothesis. Journal of Affective Disorders,2002,72,1-14.
- [11]Peterson AC,Compas BE et al ,Depression in adolescence, American Psychologist,1993, 48,155-168.
- [12]Riittakerttu Kaltiala-Heino, Matti Rimpela, Paivi Rantanen, Pekka Laippala, Adolescent depression: the role of discontinuities in life course and social support. Journal of Affective Disorders.2001,64,155-166.
- [13]R.M.A.Hirschfeld, G.L.Klerman et al, Premarbid personality assessments of first onset of major depression. Archives General Psychiatry,1989,46,345-350
- [14]R.M.A.Hirschfeld.GL.Klerman et al, Personality and depression: empirical findings. Archives eneral Psychiatry,1983,40,993-998.
- [15]Spangler DJ, Simons AD, Monore SM, et al. Comparison of cognitive models of depression: relationships between cognitive constructs and cognitive diathesis-stress match. J Abnorm Psychol,1997,106,395-408.
- [16]S.Taylor, PMclean, Outcomes profiles in the treatment of unipolar depression. Behavior Reseach and Therapy,1993,31,325-330.
- [17]Sven Barow , Michael Linden , Michael Lucht , Harald-J. Freyberger, The importance of psychosocial factors, gender, and severity of depression in distinguishing between adjustment and depressive disorders. Journal of Affective Disorders , 2002,72,71-78.
- [18]Teasdale JD, Segal ZV, Williams JMQ How does cognitive therapy prevent depressive relapse and why should attentional control(mindfulness) training help? Behaviour Research and therapy,1995,33,25-29.
- [19]Tennen H, Hall JA, Depression research methoddgies: A review and critique. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology,1995,68,870-884.
- [20]Tracey J.Shors, Benedetta Leuner ,Estrogen-mediated effects on depression and memory formation in females,Journal of Affective Disorders,2003,74,85-96
- [21] 联合国教科文组织, 1972,《学会生存--教育世界的今天与明天》, 教育科学出版社2000年版,, p1-22;
- [22]何可抗, 1996,《计算机辅助教育》, 高等教育出版社1997年版, p148-190
- [23] Badrul H• Khan & Rene Vega, Factors to Consider When Evaluating a Web-based Instruction Course: A Survey, Web-based Instruction, 1997.
- [24] Jac-Woong Kim & Soon-Jeong Hong, Alternative Approach To The Educational Quality Assurance Model For KNOU, Internet.

APPENDIX

The Evaluation Model of Web-based Instruction

网络教学评价系统: the evaluation system of the Web-based instruction



The flow chart of the evaluation process of Web-based instruction

