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#### Abstract

The performance of $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ on-off-keying (OOK) modulation format over DCDM system is reported. The aggregate bit rate of $50 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ is achieved with only 120 GHz spectral width. The clock and data recovery is realized at 10 GHz clock rate, which is very economic and efficient. The worst receiver sensitivity of -16.3 dBm , optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) of 36 dB and chromatic dispersion tolerance of $\pm 32 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ are achieved. For the best channel, the receiver sensitivity, OSNR and chromatic dispersion tolerance are - 26.5 $\mathrm{dBm}, 26.2 \mathrm{~dB}$ and $\pm 69 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ respectively.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Optical communication forms the backbone of modern telecommunication and internet networks around the world. Improving transmission capacity of wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) is very important with a limited bandwidth [1]. In WDM systems, a wavelength can only carry one data channel. Time division multiplexing (TDM) is the most commonly used technique for multiplexing high number of lower bit rate channels to form a higher bit rate. For example, a $50 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ data stream can be achieved by multiplexing five 10 $\mathrm{Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ data using electrical TDM (ETDM) [2-7]. Synchronization for clock and data recovery is very important at such high speed aggregate bit rate [8-13]. Return-to-zero (RZ) line coding format facilitates system synchronization at the expense of higher spectral width. At $50 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$, RZ-TDM has 200 GHz null-to-null spectral width and required transceiver operates at 50 GHz.

Recently, various advanced multiplexing techniques and modulation formats are developed to increase the transmission capacity of WDM systems with transceiver operates at signal baud/symbol rate [14-26]. Differential quadrature phase-shiftkeying (DQPSK) [21-23, 25] and polarization division multiplexing (PDM) [14, 15, 24] are example of such
techniques, which doubles transmission capacity of WDM channel. Combining DQPSK with PDM quadruples WDM network capacity $[16-20,26]$, however such systems is very complex and costly.

Duty-cycle division multiplexing (DCDM) for optical communication system was introduced in [27, 28], which implements direct detection and able to support the transmission of multi-channel per WDM channel. This technique is implemented based on RZ duty cycle, in which different channels are assigned with different RZ duty cycle values. This technique provides self symbol synchronization due to a rising edge transition at the beginning of each symbol in the multiplexed signal, which allows the receiver to operate at symbol rate for clock and data recovery. Using this technique, relatively small spectral width is resulted. Performance of three $10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ amplitude-shift-keying (ASK) channels over DCDM was reported in [29]. In this paper, for the first time, we analyze the performance of 5 channels referring to back-to-back receiver sensitivity, optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR), chromatic dispersion tolerance and spectral width. We have shown that, 5 $\times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ DCDM data can be recovered by using 10 GHz clock with 120 GHz null-to-null spectral width.

## 2. SIMULATION SETUP

Fig. 1 (a) shows the simulation setup of DCDM system for multiplexed 5 channels. Userl (U1) to User5 (U5), each with 10 $\mathrm{Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ pulse at PRBS $2^{10}-1$, are curved using 5 RZ pulse generators, which generate different duty cycles (DCs). The DCs are distributed uniformly between different channels, which the $i^{\text {th }} \mathrm{RZ}$ pulse generator has a DC of $T_{i}$ calculated from the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}=\frac{i \times T_{s}}{n+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{s}$ represents the multiplexed symbol duration and $n$ is the number of multiplexing users.


Fig. 1. (a) DCDM simulation setup for multiplexing 5 users, (b) eye diagram and (c) demultiplexer.

These RZ signals each with different DCs were synchronously multiplexed using an electrical adder. The output of the multiplexer was then modulated with a laser diode (LD) signal, which operated at 1550 nm wavelength using an intensity modulator (IM) (here amplitude modulator (AM) with infinity extinction ratio is used). Output of the modulator is a step shape signal or multilevel signal whereby the amplitude of each level is uniformly distributed. Fig. 1 (b) shows a back-to-back eye diagram for the multiplexed 5 channels measured at BER of $10^{-}$ ${ }^{9}$. Fig. 2 illustrates example of the working principle of the system in more detail for 5 channels. Fig. 2 (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) show the output signals of the RZ signal generator number 1 , $2,3,4$ and 5 with $16.6 \%, 33.3 \%, 50 \%, 66.6 \%$ and $83.3 \%$ DC respectively. The generated signals are based on the bits represented in Fig. 2 (a). Fig. 2 (g) shows the 32 unique multiplexed patent signals for the 5 channels.

At the receiver side, the optical signal is detected by a PIN photodiode and passed through a low-pass filter (LPF) followed by a demultiplexer. The Gaussian electrical LPF is used in order to minimize additional dispersion and noises with a cut-off frequency of 45 GHz . In the demultiplexer (Fig. 1 (c)), the clock recovery circuit has an external clock, which oscillates at the frequency equal with the symbol rate ( 10 GHz ). This 10 GHz clock can be recovered from the received signal spectra by detecting the 10 GHz impulse transition using phase lock loop (PLL). This impulse transition is shown in Fig. 3. The oscillator is resynchronized to each rising edge of the input signal as there is a rising edge transition per symbol, which appears at the beginning of the symbol. The 5 sampling circuits are synchronized with the recovered clock. By putting an appropriate delay lines [30] for each of the sampler as shown in Fig. 1 (b), the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, \ldots$, and $5^{\text {th }}$ sampler $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots\right.$, and $\left.S_{5}\right)$ take samples at $T_{s} / 12,3 T_{s} / 12, \ldots$, and $9 T_{s} / 12 \mathrm{~s}$ per symbol respectively. The frequency of all samplers is equal to the symbol rate. Outputs of the samplers are fed into the decision and regeneration unit. In this unit, the sampled values are compared against 5 threshold values, $t h r_{1}, t h r_{2}, \ldots$, and $t h r_{5}$. Decision is made for U1 based on the information taken from the two consecutive sampling points, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. If amplitudes of those two adjacent sampling points are equal, bit 0 is recovered (for example, cases $1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19,21,23,25$, 27, 29 and 31 from Fig. 2 (g)). On the other hand, when the amplitude at $S_{1}$ is one level greater than $S_{2}$, bit 1 is regenerated (cases 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 32). The same method is used for U2, which utilizes information extracted from $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$. Only the last channel, U5, is recovered by comparing amplitude of only $S_{5}$ against thr $r_{1}$. Table 1 shows example of the rules designed for U1 and U2 in 5 -channel system in all cases given in Fig. 2 (g). Bit error rate (BER) in this paper is calculated based on the probability of error method.
(a)

| Cases | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 14 | $15$ | 16 | 17 | 18 | 9 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |  |  | 29 | 10 |  | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | I | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| U2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| U3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 1 | 1 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| U4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| U5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |



Fig. 2. (a) 32 possible combinations of bits for 5-user, (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) signals for U1, U2, U3, U4 and U5 respectively, and (g) multiplexed signals.

Table 1. Data recovery rules of 5 -user DCDM system for U1 and U2 based on the signals represented in Fig. 2 (g).

| Rules for U1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | if | $\left(S_{1}<t h r_{1}\right)$ | \& | $\left(S_{2}<t h r_{1}\right)$, | then | U1=0 | 1 |
| 2 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{l} t h r_{1} \\ \left\langle t h r_{2}\right) \end{array}\right. \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \& | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline t h r_{1} \\ \left.<t h r_{2}\right), \end{array} \quad S_{2}$ | then | U1=0 | 3,5,9,17 |
| 3 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{l} \left(t h r_{2}\right. \\ <t h r_{3} \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$ | \& | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline\left(t h r_{2}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{3}\right), \end{array} \quad S_{2}$ | then | $\mathrm{U} 1=0$ | 7,11,13,19,21,25 |
| 4 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \left(t h r_{3}\right. \\ & \left\langle t h r_{4}\right) \end{aligned} \quad \leq \quad S_{1}$ | \& | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \begin{array}{l} \left(t h r_{3}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{4}\right), \end{array} & \leq \quad S_{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | then | U1 $=0$ | 15,23,27,29 |
| 5 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \left(t h r_{4} \leq S_{1}\right. \\ & \left.<t h r_{5}\right) \end{aligned}$ | \& | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \hline\left(t h r_{4}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{5}\right), \end{array} \quad \leq \quad S_{2}$ | then | U1=0 | 31 |
| 6 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \left(t h r_{1} \leq S_{1}\right. \\ & \left.<t h r_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | \& | $\left(S_{2}<t h r_{1}\right)$, | then | $\mathrm{U} 1=1$ | 2 |
| 7 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \binom{t h r_{2}}{<t h r_{3}} \leq S_{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \& | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \left(t h r_{1}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{2}\right), \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | then | $\mathrm{U} 1=1$ | 4,6,10,18 |
| 8 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \left(t h r_{3}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{4}\right) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \& | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline t h r_{2} \leq \quad S_{2} \\ \left.<t h r_{3}\right), \end{array}$ | then | $\mathrm{U} 1=1$ | 8,12,14,20,22,26 |
| 9 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \left(t h r_{4}\right. \\ & \left\langle t h r_{5}\right) \end{aligned} \quad \leq \quad S_{1}$ | \& | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline\left(t h r_{3}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{4}\right), & \leq \\ \hline \end{array}$ | then | $\mathrm{U} 1=1$ | 16,24,28,30 |
| 10 | if | $\left(S_{1} \geq t h r_{5}\right)$ | \& | $\left(S_{2} \geq t h r_{4}\right)$, | then | U1=1 | 32 |
|  | les | for U2 |  |  |  |  | Cases |
| 1 | if | $\left(S_{2}<t h r_{1}\right)$ | \& | $\left(S_{3}<t h r_{1}\right)$, | then | U2=0 | 1,2 |
| 2 | if | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l} \left(t h r_{1}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{2}\right) \end{array}\right) \quad S_{2}$ | \& | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l} \hline\left(t h r_{1}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{2}\right) \end{array}\right) \quad S_{3}$ | then | U2 $=0$ | 5,6,9,10,17,18 |
| 3 | if | $\left.\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline\left(t h r_{2}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{3}\right) \end{array}\right) \quad S_{2}$ | \& | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l} \hline\left(t h r_{2}\right. \\ \left\langle t h r_{3}\right) \end{array}\right) \quad S_{3}$ | then | U2 $=0$ | 13,14,21,22,25,26 |
| 4 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \left(t h r_{3} \leq S_{2}\right. \\ & \left.<t h r_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | \& | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline\left(t h r_{3}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{4}\right) \end{array} \quad \leq \quad S_{3}$ | then | U2 $=0$ | 29,30 |
| 5 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \left(t h r_{1}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{2}\right) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \& | $\left(S_{3}<t h r_{1}\right)$, | then | $\mathrm{U} 2=1$ | 3,4 |
| 6 | if | $\begin{aligned} & \left(t h r_{2} \leq S_{2}\right. \\ & \left\langle t h r_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | \& | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline\left(t h r_{1} \quad \leq \quad S_{3}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{2}\right), \end{array}$ | then | $\mathrm{U} 2=1$ | 7,8,11,12,19,20 |
| 7 | if | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline\left(t h r_{3}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{4}\right) \end{array} \leq S_{2}$ | \& | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \left(t h r_{2}\right. \\ \left.<t h r_{3}\right), \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | then | $\mathrm{U} 2=1$ | 15,16,23,24,27,28 |
| 8 | if | $\left(S_{2} \geq t h r_{4}\right)$ | \& | $\left(S_{3} \geq t h r_{3}\right)$, | then | U2=1 | 31,32 |

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

DCDM provides a rising edge transition at the beginning of each multiplexed symbol, except for the case that bit 0 is transmitted by all channels (case 1 in Fig. 2), which has very low possibility to occur, particularly as the number of channels increases. The rising edge transition per symbol is highlighted in Fig. 2 (e), which shows an example of the multiplexed signals for 3-channel system. By detecting this rising edges, the beginning of each multiplexed symbol will be determined. Therefore, unlike TDM systems, this system does not require extra information and processing for symbol synchronization.

As explained in the previous section, using a delay line and a sampling circuit per channel, the received bit stream can be recovered at symbol rate. This leads the system towards the utilization of lower end clock recovery circuits with lower speed electronic components. With reference to conventional TDM at the same aggregate bit rate, our solution offers lower cost.

In addition to that, DCDM offers great saving in the spectral width. As shown in Fig. 3, using DCDM technique, the maximum null-to-null spectral width of $50 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ RZ-TDM (200 GHz ) is reduced to 120 GHz . The spectral width of RZ-TDM reduces by $40 \%$. This saving in the spectral width increases as
the number of channels increases. This is because DCDM signals require a null-to-null spectral width of $2 \times[(n+1) / n] \times$ $R_{\text {aggrigated }}$, whereas RZ-TDM requires $4 \times R_{\text {aggrigated }}$ where, $R_{\text {aggrigated }}$ is the system aggregated/total bit rate. Based on this feature, better spectral efficiency is expected.

Fig. 4 and 5 show the back-to-back pre-amplified receiver sensitivity and OSNR of $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ DCDM technique. A receiver sensitivity of around -16.3 dBm is obtained for the worst user (U1), for the system to achieve BER of $10^{-9}$. Meanwhile, the best user (U5) has receiver sensitivity of around -26.5 dBm at the same BER. In terms of OSNR, at the same BER, an OSNR of 36 dB and 26.2 dB is required for the worst and the best user in the system respectively.

The different performance for different users in DCDM technique is due to the number of eyes participating in the BER calculation for the different users from one side; and different performance for different eyes from the other side. Table 2 shows the Q-factor, eye amplitude/optical modulation amplitude (OMA) and eye closer for those 15 eyes shown in Fig. 6. In this table, for each individual eye, the Q-factor ( $Q$ ), eye amplitude $\left(E_{A}\right) /$ OMA and eye closer $\left(E_{C}\right)$ are calculated respectively as following [31-33],

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=\frac{\left|\mu_{1}-\mu_{0}\right|}{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{0}}  \tag{2}\\
& E_{A}=\mu_{1}-\mu_{0}  \tag{3}\\
& E_{C}=\min \left(V_{1}\right)-\max \left(V_{0}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}$ represent the mean and standard deviation of binary $i=0,1$, at sampling point respectively. $\min \left(V_{1}\right)$ is the minimum value of the amplitude for binary 1 , and $\max \left(V_{0}\right)$ is the maximum value for the amplitude of binary 0 . As explained in the previous section, BER of the $1^{\text {st }}$ user is calculated based on the $1^{\text {st }}$ and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ slots ( $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ ), which has the most number of eyes (eye number 1 to eye number 9 from Fig. 6). On the other hand, BER of the last user (U5) relates to only one eye, which appears at the last slot, $S_{5}$ (eye number 15 from Fig. $6)$.


Fig. 3. Spectral width of $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ DCDM multiplexed signals.


Fig. 4. Pre-amplified receiver sensitivity for $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ DCDM system.


Fig. 5. OSNR for $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ DCDM system.

Since different eyes show different quality, performance of different users are different. For example, as shown in Table 2 and Fig. 6, the Eye 1 has the least OMA and eye opening, which results in the least Q-factor and then the largest BER. Whereas, the Eye 15 has larger OMA and eye opening, thus better Qfactor and BER in comparison to the Eye 1. Therefore, it is clear that in the proposed system, U5 always has better performance than the other users. On the other hand, U1 has the worst performance amongst the multiplexed users.

Table 2. Q-factor, eye amplitude/OMA and eye closer for the eyes represented in Fig. 6

| No. of <br> Eye | Q-factor | Eye amplitude/ <br> OMA (mW) | Eye closer <br> $\mathbf{( m W )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eye 1 | $\mathbf{5 . 9 0 7 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2 0 0}$ |
| Eye 2 | 6.33508 | 0.00350 | 0.00202 |
| Eye 3 | 7.73202 | 0.00352 | 0.00214 |
| Eye 4 | 9.79202 | 0.00351 | 0.00260 |
| Eye 5 | 21.68518 | 0.00351 | 0.00312 |
| Eye 6 | 6.24397 | 0.00353 | 0.00211 |
| Eye 7 | 7.38278 | 0.00355 | 0.00191 |
| Eye 8 | 9.96054 | 0.00356 | 0.00256 |
| Eye 9 | 21.00377 | 0.00355 | 0.00317 |
| Eye 10 | 7.70917 | 0.00354 | 0.00222 |
| Eye 11 | 9.98918 | 0.00353 | 0.00240 |
| Eye 12 | 22.28425 | 0.00353 | 0.00300 |
| Eye 13 | 10.33203 | 0.00351 | 0.00261 |
| Eye 14 | 20.41372 | 0.00353 | 0.00304 |
| Eye 15 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1 0 6 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 0 3}$ |

Fig. 7 shows the effect of chromatic dispersion on $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ DCDM signals. Based on the results, the worst user can tolerate chromatic dispersion of $\pm 32 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ and the best channels can support the dispersion of $\pm 69 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ at BER of $10^{-9}$. The different performance between different users is due to the same reason that explained above for receiver sensitivity and OSNR.


Fig. 6. Eye diagram of $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ DCDM signal measured at received power of -16.25 dBm (around BER of $10^{-9}$ ).


Fig. 7. Chromatic dispersion tolerance of $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ DCDM signals.

## 4. CONCLUSION

DCDM technique with the capacity of $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ is reported. Performance of DCDM was assessed in terms of back-to-back receiver sensitivity, OSNR, and chromatic dispersion tolerance. Due to the rising edge transition at the beginning of each multiplexed symbol, this technique allowed the $50 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ data stream to be recovered using an external clock and 5 sampling circuits that all running at 10 GHz , which results in a lower speed of electronic component thus reducing cost. With 120 GHz spectral width this technique reduces $40 \%$ spectral width of RZ-TDM.
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