

Motivating students by cooperation-projects based learning in engineering education

Jorge Pastor

Centro Universitario de la Defensa. AGM. University of Zaragoza
Zaragoza, CP: 50090, Spain

Sonia Val

Manufacture Department. Engineering Faculty. University of Zaragoza
Zaragoza, CP: 50018, Spain

Joaquin Sancho

Centro Universitario de la Defensa. AGM. University of Zaragoza
Zaragoza, CP: 50090, Spain

and

David Villen

Ingeniería Sin Fronteras Aragón
Zaragoza, CP: 50018, Spain

ABSTRACT

The present communication shows the methodology used, and the results obtained in an educational project framed in the field of the education in values and democracy education.

This educational project pursues to improve the academic results of the students in secondary schools by means of the motivation that provides them the work in cooperation projects and socially responsible projects.

We have developed a methodology that enables us to tackle the contents of different subjects in the curriculum using activities and practical works based in projects of cooperation to the development that carries out the Non-governmental organization “Ingeniería Sin Fronteras Aragón”, which has been being developed for several years in collaboration with professors of the University of Zaragoza (Spain) and professors of secondary education. The cooperation project is centered in the problem of deficiency of energy in Saharawi refugee camps in the Western Sahara in Argelia.

The scenario which involves working with cooperation projects provide an extra motivation for students during the learning process and enable us to develop the selected learning activities and achievement of the learning objectives.

This methodology also allows the participation of the entire education community in the teaching/learning process and motivates the students to improve behaviors in schools.

Keywords

Motivating Students, Problem-based learning, Education in values, Democracy Education, Development co-operation, Human Rights Education, The Western Sahara.

1. BACKGROUND

Decrease of engineering workforce

The decrease of engineering workforce is a problem that Spain shares with other European countries and with the United States [1]. As Spain faces a shrinking engineering labourforce [2], the percentage of young researchers working in the technical areas decreases as can be seen when analyzing the results of the several research reports [3-7].

A further unresolved problem relates to the absence of associationism through young people, in [8] Rafael Vázquez offers a comparative perspective of young volunteering regarding to other forms of conventional and non-conventional sociopolitical engagement.

The causes of the lack of initiative and entrepreneurial culture include, according to [7], a related fear of failure, a lack of confidence and self-assurance, limited creativity and the fact that many students are unaccustomed to work in group projects and may feel uncomfortable participating in or initiating group discussions.

To enhance young people engagement, associationism and entrepreneurship, a change in educational methods is needed.

Traditional educational methods that use typical learning activities as classes, labs, homework and tests,

have been widely criticized because they inadequately prepare students to engage in collaborative partnerships. Especially in engineering studies these strategies promote passive learning and a compartmentalized curriculum that may not prepare students for the innovative and flexible role of engineers in today's society.

Spanish Curriculum vs Massachusetts Curriculum

One of the most prestigious engineering curriculum [9] is the "Massachusetts Science and Technology/Engineering Curriculum" (MST/EC) [10]. Analyzing the contents of Spanish engineering curriculum from kindergarten to 12th grade (K-12), and comparing these contents with MST/EC, we find them very similar.

A curriculum is not just a set of courses, course work, and contents, but also is a framework that sets forth the program's philosophy, goals, and objectives, as well as guidelines for teaching that address all aspects of the student development. The Spanish curriculum is similar in content to the Massachusetts'; the main differences are in methodology and the guidelines proposed by Massachusetts.

Educational methods

K-12 STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education in Spain has appropriate contents for engineering education from pre-kindergarten to grade 12. But the education system in Spain does not address the need of preparing teachers to incorporate engineering education into their practice with the correct methodology to tackle the STEM contents. Engineering education in K-12 classrooms has a number of opportunities for STEM learning, but also raises questions about knowledge and professional development, and poses challenges such as institutional curriculum standards and assessments of major importance.

The goal of the Guiding Principles, included in the MST/EC, is to help educators create inquiry-based educational environments that encourage student curiosity, engagement, persistence, respect for evidence, and sense of responsibility. However, MST/EC have also suggested learning activities referred to the related grades (from kindergarten to 12th grade) for each subtopic of the learning standards.

An effective program promotes high academic expectation for all students, investigation, experimentation, collaborative learning, project based learning and problem based learning. The methodology proposed by Massachusetts promotes these learning activities including such varied initiatives as "Project Lead the Way" [11] or "For Inspiration Recognition of Science and Technology" [12]. But these effective programs also make the school more interesting and effective.

Learning engineering content must be as close as possible to the work carried out in real engineering projects. Students need opportunities to work with peers

and to talk about their work in focused discussions with peers and with those who have more experience and expertise. Students also require practice in making written and oral presentations, responding to critiques, and developing replies.

Collaborative learning benefits

Some of the benefits of collaborative learning and project-based learning are that these methodologies allow identifying gaps in knowledge among students while encouraging the development of knowledge [13, 14]. Project-based learning focuses on involving students in projects that teach a variety of skills. But also, thinking about the social aspects of the teaching/learning process, effective collaboration improved the partnership and the equity of students while working with peers, combining social and cognitive constructivism. And also, regarding the cognitive aspects of learning, this method achieves a higher-order thinking by engaging better conceptual learning.

2. PROPOSED METHOD

We have developed a methodology that enables us to tackle the main concepts of MST/EC using activities and practical tasks based in projects of cooperation for development that carries out the Non-governmental organization "Ingeniería Sin Fronteras Aragón", which has been developed for several years in collaboration with professors from the University of Zaragoza (Spain) and secondary education teachers. The cooperation project is centered on the problem of deficiency of energy in Saharawi refugee camps in the Western Sahara in Algeria.

By focusing students on a cooperation project teachers put them on a path that deepens their knowledge and builds skills they will need in the future. But besides the benefits of project-based learning and collaborative learning, the methodology proposed also adds student motivation, motivation provided by their work in cooperation and socially responsible projects. This methodology also allows deepening equity of students, in their education in values, in democracy and develops critical thinking skills.

Development cooperation project

The name of the development cooperation project is "The sun of Dajla". The objective of the project is to improve the quality of the energy facilities in the refugee camps in Western Sahara. This is the reason why Ingeniería Sin Fronteras Aragón has been working in the development of technologies for its transference the Saharawi community, in collaboration with professors from the University of Zaragoza and CIRCE foundation.

The cooperation project has direct and indirect actions resulting from an analysis of the reality raised by members of the association, displaced people and

saharais. Some of the direct aid consists of the electrification of health centers, building schools or installation of water pumps that work with solar energy [15]. The project also contemplates formation programs and campaigns/activities to raise public awareness in schools, in schools for women and technical training for workers. In addition, the project is working in the creation of an energy department dependent of the ministry of transport of the Saharai Arab Republic government.

Projects defined for the learning standard subtopics

This multidisciplinary project gives us a scenario where we define and develop many learning activities and engineering materials to study the main subtopics of the Technology/Engineering learning standards of Massachusetts Curriculum: Engineering Design (SbT1); Construction Technologies (SbT2); Energy and Power Technologies—Fluid Systems (SbT3); Energy and Power Technologies—Thermal Systems (SbT4); Energy and Power Technologies—Electrical Systems (SbT5); Communication Technologies (SbT6); and Manufacturing Technologies (SbT7); Materials, Tools, and Machines (SbT8); Transportation Technologies (SbT9).

Table 1 shows some of the learning activities and engineering projects designed and the subtopic of MST/EC related with the activities:

PROJECT	Subtopic
Design a communication network with satellite connection in a Saharai school	6
Design and manufacture of solar toys	1, 4, 5, 7, 8
Design and manufacture of solar cookers (box, panel, parabolic...)	1, 4, 7, 8
Design and manufacture of solar water distillers	1, 3, 4, 7, 8
Electronic charge controller design	1, 5, 7, 8
Electric solar panel installations	2, 3, 4, 5, 8
Design and implement a residential windmill installation for electricity	2, 3, 4, 5, 8
Wastewater recycling project	1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9
Water pump installation project	1, 2, 5, 8, 9
Family orchard project	1, 8, 9
Vehicle maintenance project	3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9
Design and manufacture mold casting toys	1, 7, 8
Housing electrical and water installations	2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9
Mana Kanchu project [16]	2, 6, 9
Designing and editing a magazine about the cooperation project	1

Table.1 Some of the learning activities and engineering projects designed

These projects must be solved in groups, followed by an explanation in class, tutorial sessions or/and role-play sessions. All these activities help the teacher to get

students involved in asking questions, doing research, collaborating and communicating. The projects also include a number of deliverable intermediate tasks, for which student feedback is very useful from the point of view of formative evaluation and teaching improvement.

This methodology not only allows us to design and develop learning activities to study the main subtopics of the MST/EC learning standards but also develop awareness activities, to achieve education in values and democracy education, for example:

- Collection of medicines, clothing, school equipment, etc.
- Awareness days, showing videos, posters, etc.
- Exchange letters with Sahrawi children in schools.

Technical projects are designed to be developed throughout grades 6-12 and supported by awareness projects. So this methodology also allows the participation of the entire education community in the teaching/learning process and motivates the students to improve school behavior.

The scenario which involves working with cooperation projects provides extra motivation for students during the learning process and enable us to develop the selected learning activities and achievement of the learning objectives.

3. EVALUATION PROCESS AND RESULTS

This methodology has been used in two different schools during 3 years. These projects have been used with 190 students divided into nine different teaching groups with an average of 21 students, from grades 6 to 12.

We taught these learning activities actively and collaboratively so that the students could achieve the objectives and develop associated capacities. Once each project was finished, we asked the students to fill out an anonymous survey in order to evaluate the usefulness of the activity and to accumulate data for the evaluation of the methodology. We have used the evaluation methodology developed by the teaching-quality research group “GIDOUZ” [17].

We have evaluated three different levels: The perception of students, academic results, and the full evaluation of the methodology involving the entire education community.

An average of 189 students took part in the surveys, in which they had to assess a series of statements on a scale from 1 to 5 where: 1 represented total disagreement, 2 disagreement, 3 neutral, 4 agreement and 5 complete agreement.

The used questionnaires are different according to the project and the grade, but Table 2 shows the main questions of a typical questionnaire, and Fig. 1 shows the average results of the questionnaire analysis.

Q1	The proper use of technology can make a better world
Q2	Working with the cooperation project provides me extra motivation
Q3	The contents studied in this project are useful for my training as an engineer
Q4	Work group has been beneficial to me
Q5	Knowing the social issues surrounding the problem has helped me to better understand technical aspects and the purpose of the project.
Q6	The complementary material provided with the project has been useful for improving my learning.

Table 2 Typical surveys questionnaire.




Fig. 1. Project survey questionnaire average results.

Of the total of 189 average students who took part in the survey, almost 75% thought that the proper use of technology could make a better world, while fewer than 8% thought the opposite. Looking at the results of question Q2, it is significant that 81% of students thought that working with cooperation projects provide themselves extra motivation, while barely 6% did not agree. With respect to the usefulness of contents studied, the replies to statements Q3 showed that about 64% of students were in agreement or complete agreement that are useful for their training as an engineer. Only 7,5% thought that group work has not been beneficial. The results of question Q5 show that almost 60% of the students thought that to be involved in the social issues surrounding the problem help them to understand technical aspects and the purpose of the project. Finally, 26% found the additional material accompanying the project useful, while 11% did not find it useful.

The evaluation of the academic results (examinations, presentations, interviews, portfolios, observation of student responses, performance testing, etc) shows a clear improvement over previous years where classic methodologies were used. The number of students passing has increased from 67% to 85%, and the good grades (A or B) had increased from 11% to 35%.

The full evaluation of the methodology proposed has involved the entire education community. At the end of the academic year an evaluation session with the school

management, teachers, fathers, mothers and students was held with very positive results. 98% of the participants felt involved in the cooperation project and believed that this methodology had improved the results, motivation and participation of students.

4. CONCLUSIONS

A curriculum is a framework that sets forth the program's philosophy, goals, and objectives, as well as guidelines for teaching that address all aspects of the student development. The methodology and the guidelines for teaching are just as important as the proposed contents. In engineering studies traditional education strategies inadequately prepare students to engage in collaborative partnerships, promote passive learning and not prepare students for the innovative and flexible role.

An effective program have to promote collaborative learning, project based learning and problem based learning including active initiatives and learning activities. The work in cooperation and socially responsible projects motivates the students to improve school behavior, but also allows the participation of the entire education community in the teaching/learning process. The methodology based in activities related to cooperation projects allows the students feel very involved, improving the academic results, participation and improving the education in values of the entire education community.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CIRCE Foundation, University of Zaragoza, Ibercaja, Cai, Government of Aragón, Zaragoza City Council, Huesca City Council and Andorra Council fund the cooperation project "The sun of Dajla". In this cooperation project collaborate many volunteers, thank to all.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Bagiati, A., Yoon, S. Y., Evangelou, D., & Ngambeki, I. Engineering Curricula in Early Education: Describing the Landscape on Open Resources. (L. G. Katz, Ed.) **Early Childhood Research & Practice**, 12 (2). 2010.
- [2] Capilla, R., Hervás, A., & Soriano, P. P. Evolution analysis of the demand of engineering studies. **Proceeding of VIII Conference of Technologies on Teaching Electronic Engineering**. University of Valencia. Valencia. 2008.
- [3] Aceituno, P., Campanario, M. L., & Burgos, C. Sixth Report Innovacef: Good practices in the program Torres Quevedo. Innovacef. 2010.
- [4] Ministry of Science and Innovation. (2008). *I+D+i Activity Report (1996-2007)*. Ministry of Science and Innovation.

- [5] Eurostat (2011). Human resources in science and technology as a share of labour force. 2011
- [6] OCDE. (2009). *The global competition for talent*. Paris: OCDE Publications.
- [7] Alemany, L., Alvarez, C., Planellas, M., & Urbano, D. White Paper on entrepreneurship in Spain. Prince of Girona Foundation. Barcelona. 2011.
- [8] Vázquez, R. G. "Civic Participation, Women and Associations in Spain". **Psicología Política** (42), 2011, pp. 69-88.
- [9] Douglas, J., Iversen, E., & Kalyandurg, C. "Engineering in K-12 classroom: An analysis of current practices and guidelines for the future". **ASEE Engineering K12 Center**, 2004.
- [10] Massachusetts Department of Education. Massachusetts Science and Technology/Engineering Curriculum Framework. Massachusetts Department of Education, Massachusetts. 2006.
- [11] www.pltw.org/aindex.htm
- [12] www.usfirst.org
- [13] Stump, G., Hilpert, J., Husman, J., Chung, W., & Kim, W. Collaborative Learning in Engineering Students: Gender and Achievement. **Journal of Engineering Education**, 100 (3), 2011, pp. 475-497.
- [14] Yadav, A., Subedi, D., & Lundeberg, M. A. (2011). Problem-based Learning: Influence on Student's Learning in a Electrical Engineering Course. **Journal of Engineering Education**, 100 (2), 253-280.
- [15] Pastor, J.J.; Artigas, J.I.; Armillas, I.; García, J.; García, D.; Villén, D.; Lóbez, E. "The sun of: Solar energy development in the refugee camps in Western Sahara". **Proceedings of XIII International Conference of Project Engineering**. Badajoz, Vol 1, N° 1, 2009, pp. 1391-1399.
- [16] ISF Navarra. "*Mana Kanchu*". Navarra, España: ISF Navarra. 2009.
- [17] Escalona, A. I., & Loscertales, B. "Guidelines and materials for the methodologic renovation of university teaching". University of Zaragoza. 2007, GIDOUZ. Zaragoza: GIDOUZ.