# **Comparison of Governance Cases in Owner-Managed SMEs**

# **Maris MILLERS**

Faculty of Engineering Economics and Management, Riga Technical University Riga, LV-1048, Latvia

### Elina GAILE-SARKANE

Faculty of Engineering Economics and Management, Riga Technical University Riga, LV-1048, Latvia

#### **ABSTRACT**

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) represent in Europe up to 99% of all businesses and provide two-thirds of the total employment in private sector. However, business literature as well as training programs often tend to use large companies and corporations as best practice examples for setting up management practices.

This research review existing literature on governance and management system development in context of small and medium enterprises and compare findings with three smallmedium-sized companies from different European countries.

Companies analyzed demonstrate different ways of setting up management system and processes to achieve positive financial and market results. This leads to conclusion that it is essential to achieve fit of management system elements to business circumstances, rather implementing selected good practices. For small and medium enterprises, this is even more important, as they are characterized by limited resources and competences. This paper enlighten challenges SMEs are facing and some possible solutions that will contribute to improving their management and sustainability.

**Keywords**: Small and medium enterprises. Governance. Management system. Management processes. Owner-manager.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) play a significant role in most economies. In Europe SMEs represent up to 99% of all businesses and provide two-thirds of the total employment in private sector [1]. Compared with larger organizations, SMEs have limited internal resources and internal knowledge, and shall use external knowledge. However, business literature as well as training programs often tend to use large enterprises and corporations as best practice examples for setting up management practices, and often they are no appropriate for SMEs.

There is also a high diversity in the SME sector. These companies represent almost all business sectors, have different operational patterns, different cultures, different growth potentials, and no single recommendation could fit them all.

Large proportion of small and medium enterprises is owned by individuals or by families and at the same time managed by founders or owners. Managerial style of these entrepreneurs is influenced by a series of demographic and situational factors, personal values and views.

New situation, which is characterized with globalization, development of new technologies, increasing customer expectation, pressure on prices and other emerging issues is putting serious challenges on small and medium enterprises and their management to maintain future growth and sustainability of business.

Purpose of this article is to enlighten challenges SMEs are facing in development of their management system and draw possible solutions that will contribute to improving SME management and sustainability.

Methods: Research is based on a literature review using the state-of-the-art method to understand previous research on governance and management system development in small and medium enterprises and owner-managed companies in particular. Then structured interviews with owners-managers of three small-medium sized companies are conduced to identify underlying factors and particularities on how management system is developed in these companies. Cases are compared to find possible similarities and differences across different companies and different business environments.

Findings/Results: This paper enlighten challenges that SMEs are facing in context of current business environment and contribute to discussion on possible solutions that improve the SMEs management and sustainability.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Literature review includes recent theoretical and empiric findings on governance and management system development with particular focus on small and medium business and ownermanaged companies.

The concept of "governance" is not new, however, there is no universally accepted definition of Governance. According to Bevir, Governance refers to all processes of governing, whether undertaken by a government, market, or network, whether over a family, tribe, formal or informal organization, or territory, and whether through laws, norms, power or language. Governance differs from government in that it focuses less on the state and its institutions and more on social practices and activities [2].

Governance can be used in several contexts such as corporate governance, international governance, national governance and local governance.

In 1992 the World Bank introduced "good governance" as part of its criteria for lending to developing countries [3]. Major donors and international financial institutions are increasingly basing their aid and loans on the condition that reforms that ensure "good governance" are undertaken. Good governance assures that corruption is minimized, the views of minorities are taken into account and that the voices of the most vulnerable in society are heard in decision-making. Simply put, "governance" means: the process of decision-making and the process by which decisions are implemented or not implemented [4].

OECD Principles of Corporate Governance, first published in 1999, has become an international benchmark and help policy makers evaluate and improve the legal, regulatory, and institutional framework for corporate governance, with a view to supporting economic efficiency, sustainable growth and financial stability [5].

Governance can be defined also as: "The system by which entities are directed and controlled. It is concerned with structure and processes for decision making, accountability, control and behavior at the top of an entity. Governance influences how an organisation's objectives are set and achieved, how risk is monitored and addressed and how performance is optimised". Governance is a system and process, not a single activity and therefore successful implementation of a good governance strategy requires a systematic approach that incorporates strategic planning, risk management and performance management [6].

Meaning of governance is close to the meaning of management. There are also several interpretations in literature what is the management system.

Management system, according to Kaplan and Norton, is the integrated set of processes and tools that a company uses to develop it strategy, translate into operational terms and monitor and improve effectiveness of both. Along with definition, they suggest a concept, called the Balanced Scorecard, which integrate Financial, Market, Process and Development dimensions of business and link various financial and non-financial aspects of business by defined cause and effect relationships [7].

More often term Management system is used in particular context like Quality management system, Environmental management system, marketing management system, and others. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has developed series of recommendations for management systems. One of the first and most popular set of recommendations is for the quality management systems, named as ISO-9000 series [8]. ISO-9000 series defines fundamentals of quality management systems, while other series of standards defines principles for managing environmental (ISO-14000 series), risk management (ISO-31000 series), IT (ISO/iEC-20000 series), Food Safety (ISO-22000 series) and other aspects of business. In addition, a set of quality management principles are defined, covering seven areas -Customer focus, Leadership, Engagement of people, Process approach, Improvement, Evidence-based decision making, Relationship management [9].

Implementation of the management system itself can be described as a process. Garengo and Biazzo suggest the framework process for implementation of management systems in SME in an integrative way, called Integrated Management system (IMS). Their framework is based on changeover from the adoption of separate ISO quality standards to the implementation of an IMS [10].

In systems' engineering the Management system assumes set of dedicated IT tools and technologies for monitoring and controlling processes in enterprise or technological system. There are attempts also to clarify the concept of business models, its usages, and its roles in the Information Systems domain [11]. This is essential of companies, which employs technologies and Information system in sales, logistics, customer care or manufacturing processes.

For a SME with own limits in knowledge and resources, there are several challenges: to understand variety of terminology, handle specific language of recommendations and select appropriate for particular business principles and appropriate way for its implementation.

Changes in external environment puts other challenges on SMEs. Last decades show that consumers get increasing choices of products and services, and companies have to shift away from product-centric and company-centric approaches to customer and value-centric approaches. Value creation in company and selecting appropriate business model have become dominant themes for managers and described in series of publications by Porter [12] and Prahalad [13]. The process of value creation can be done independently, but it requires links to an external environment in order to be innovative [14]. Business modelling approach proposed by Osterwalder and Pigneur [15] suggest to link Value proposition to Customer segments through Relationship and Delivery Channels, and link it with Key suppliers through key resources and processes.

Business model thinking intends to show value creation logic for company, and it is valuable approach, However, language and elements in a business modelling approach to some extent overlaps with language and elements in the Management system approach. While academics and business consultants can handle this complexity in own way, this can be confusing for SME management.

Organization structure or organization design is one aspect of the management system. According to Daft, challenges in today's environment are leading to changes in organization design and management practices - many managers are redesigning companies towards the learning organization, which is characterized by a horizontal structure, empowered employees, shared information, collaborative strategy and an adaptive culture [16].

Small and medium enterprises have limited internal resources and internal knowledge and shall use external knowledge; however, diversity in the SME sector is high. Chesbrough et.al identified several trends how open innovation develops. One of trends is that innovation goes from large companies to SMEs. Other trend is that industry is starting to professionalize the internal processes to manage open innovation more effectively and efficiently. Nevertheless, it is currently still more trial and error than a professionally managed process [17].

There are more and more controversial evidences of particular concepts having impact on organizations, their performance and processes. According to Yusr, the arguments on the relationship between Total Quality Management (TQM) and innovation have been classified into two groups: the first group supports the positive relationship between TQM and innovation, whereas the second group claims that TQM does not support innovation in firms [18].

Literature still more focuses upon large organizations, and many questions on how to develop management system in small and medium enterprises remains unanswered.

Empirical large-scale survey of owner-managed small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) conducted in the UK draw evidence and explored the association of small business managerial style and performance. Survey revealed that the managerial style of entrepreneurs is influenced by a series of demographic and situational factors, and owner-managed businesses characterized by delegation of authority appear to achieve higher growth in sales and operationalize in a more professional way [19].

For an owner-managed company, entrepreneurial behavior of owner-manager is affected by their personal values and views. Jaouen & Lasch suggested a new typology of owner-managers exploring the extent to which the views of owner-managers regarding growth and lifestyle issues, such as success, subsistence, hedonism and paternalism, affect their entrepreneurial behavior [20].

According to literature, owner and manager personality also influence the way how company is managed, and its p

challenge - to deal with company issues and at the same time handle own personal development.

This article looks on governance and management system development in the small and medium-sized companies linking both system and process aspects with the role of the owner-manager in company.

#### 3. METHODOLOGY

Research is based on a literature review using the state-of-the-art method to understand previous research on governance and management system development in small and medium enterprises and owner-managed companies in particular. Then structured in-depth interview with owners-managers of small-medium sized companies are conduced to identify underlying factors and particularities of how management system is developed in selected companies. Interview questions were formed to cover main elements and approaches for governance and management system development.

All three companies are small-medium sized enterprises and represent services, production and trade segments from three countries. Company A is a marketing services and training company from Netherlands. Company B produces design and promotional products using advanced technologies and is based in France. Company C is the B2B products supplies company from Latvia. All three companies are managed by their owners. All companies have positive financial situation, loyal customer base and no major operational problems.

In-depth interviews were conducted to identify background and ways how company owners-managers drive companies management system development. Interview results were compared among companies interviewed and with key findings from literature review.

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All three companies described in this article operates in comparable business areas, which includes providing professional services in B2B segments, have elements of production and some additional services. All three companies have positive financial and market results, and have no major operational malfunctions.

Company analysis through interviews show that there are differences how management system is established and developed. These differences are mainly driven by owners-founders and are not country or local business culture specific. Summary of company analysis derived through interviews is provided in Table 1.

There are differences among companies in organization and structure. Company A, in fact, is combination of three legal entities (enterprises), all with similar ownership structure, each with own brand name where one enterprise is often involved as subcontractor for other enterprise in their customer's projects. Company B keeps smaller core team as permanent employees, and use a larger network of freelance specialists to be involved in their customer projects, in accordance to current needs and necessary competences. Company C has established own production capacity for particular product line, have own sales force, call center and delivery fleet. Each business unit has dedicated manager in charge.

Table 1: Comparison of governance practices in Company A, B and C

C 1	C D	C C
Company A	Company B	Company C
Business area	D 1 ' CII' 1	D ' ( D '
Marketing services and sales staff	Production of High- end technology-	Business to Business (B2B) product
training for B2B	based products for	(B2B) product supplies and
customers.	B2B customers.	production.
Organization model	D2D customers.	production.
Three interrelated	One compact-sized	One company with
companies working	professional	fully equipped sales,
together and	company with a	production and
forming up one	large freelancer	delivery staff and
business.	network.	resources
Defining strategy and		100041000
No written strategy.	Focus on short-	Strategy planning
Market goals are set.	medium tasks to	sessions were
Key principles are	develop business.	conducted with key
defined and	Founders have	team. Goals, plans
reminded during	vision and shared	and principles are
project work.	view on company	written and
1 0	development.	followed-up.
Defined long term goal		
Exit strategy - to sell	Develop market and	Stabilization of
business to larger	deploy further	business, increase of
market player in	technologies.	efficiency and
next few years.		profitability.
Culture and communication		
Open communica-	Professionals at	Objectives and KPIs
tion with all	work and family-	to be achieved with
employees,	like outside the	professional
customers partners.	office.	attitudes.
Process management		
Formalizing best	Learning on the way.	Key processes
project practices to	Understanding pro-	(sales, production,
ensure best offer and	cess and opportuni-	deliver) defined and
service to customers.	ties to select most	formalized for ISO-
	appropriate way of work.	9000 certified
Mativatian avatam	WOFK.	system.
Motivation system Salaries are defined	Professional level	Combination of
in combination with	salaries. Importance	Combination of salary and
project-based	of informal family-	performance-based
motivation	like environment.	bonus system.
approach.	Relevant fees for	bolius system.
ирргоиси.	freelancers.	
Target market/ Target area		
International	Country-wide,	Country-wide in a
(several locations in	single country.	few defined geogra-
few countries)	Language limited.	phical service areas.
Role of Co-Owners/ Partners in company		
Both co-owners are	One is professional	One is a founder and
professionals in core	in business area,	business developer;
business	other - in financial	another is a business
(marketing).	and administrative	manager and
	issues.	administrator.

Companies A and B both does not have formalized and written strategy. Founders of companies A and B have defined few essential principles which are shared and reminded to employees and also freelancers during regular work and customer projects. Company C has arranged series of workshops with key managers and specialists to formulate and write down key principles, objectives and implementation plans.

Company A use extensive and open communication with staff, customers and business partners about business trends, customer needs, technology development. Company B tends to maintain professional relationships during projects and family-like environment outside working hours, arranging informal dinners

and weekends for team members. Company C defines processes, sets targets and Key performance indicators (KPIs) for various business aspects, keeping informal relationships to a limited level.

Companies A and C use certain IT platforms to support their daily processes and main operating procedures are aligned with IT processes. Company C has undergone their management system and processes certification according to international ISO-9000 series recommendations. Company B maintains flexibility to follow established processes or maintain certain standards, depending on customer project. Company B, as it employs relatively new technology, is gradually gathering best practices and currently has no defined plans to define standardized processes and approaches.

All three companies have similarities in ownership structure, as companies have two partners as co-owners. However, there are different roles of co-owners. Co-owners also have different longterm intentions. Both partners-founders of Company A are professionals in their field, and have common intent to sell their company to some larger market player in few years. Therefore, current intents are to ensure stability and growth of business and establish professional management that will allow both founders to exit business at some point of time. Founder of Company C invited his partner to join his company at later point of time as a professional manager and granted this partner certain number of company shares. Founder of Company C want that company bring him stable financial benefit in a long term and will enable him to invest his time and resources in other business projects. Company B has two founders - one has strong professional background in the core business, other keeps focus on financial and administrative issues. Company B keeps primary focus on business development and technology deployment and have no defined exit criteria for business at current stage of development. During interviews every owner-manager was able to recognize and name some management practices or management system elements, which were ultimately eliminated as inappropriate or ineffective for their particular company or situation. It shall be noted that practices such as 'Delegation of authority', 'Weekly staff meeting', 'Formalized procedures' were named among eliminated practices by some of companies interviewed, while similar practices are found to be effective in other companies.

Companies described in this researh have some similarities in ownership structure and business areas. All companies have two owners-partners. All companies provide services in B2B segment and have value proposition including professional services, production of definite product range and product delivery to customers.

Although companies represent different countries and different markets, owners-managers have expressed several similar challenges in respect to their managerial and leadership abilities: communication to staff and customers, management of personal time and prioritization of own work, stress management, personal appearance. Also, the balance between private and professional life and personal values were named among factors that influence their managerial role in their companies.

All three companies have positive financial and market results and no major operational problems. However, there are more differences than similarities in way how management system and key principles are set up. Every company is setting up their system in their own way, based very much on owner's own preferences, company circumstances and own learning process. For these three companies there is no one single element that leads to positive result, but the combination of elements that well fits company situation and business circumstances.

#### 5. RESEARCH LIMITATIONS/IMPLICATIONS

This paper focuses on a governance and a management system as a general set of tools and processes in a company, and not specifically on management IT solutions what enterprises use for resource planning, performance management or other management processes.

Conclusions from this research are relevant to those particular medium-sized companies analyzed, and shall not be generalized without additional research and validation.

This particular research does not cover topics of management during global crisis as interviews were conducted before wide COVID-19 restrictions took place.

#### 6. CONCLUSIONS

This research enlightens some challenges that SMEs are facing in context of current business environment, at least:

- Compared with larger organizations, SMEs have limited internal resources and internal knowledge, and shall use external knowledge;
- Academic and business literature, training programs and business consultants often use large companies as an example, use wide and difficult to understand terminology, specific language, formal recommendations, which create difficulties to select the most appropriate approaches and appropriate way for their implementation in SMEs.
- There is a high diversity in the SME sector as these companies represents almost all business sectors, have different operational patterns, different cultures, different growth potentials, and there are controversial experiences on how one or another approach impacts company, its performance and results.
- Success of company depends both on implementation of management system combined with multiple personality factors of owners, managers and staff.

Three companies analyzed in this research shows that they achieve positive financial and market results by applying different and sometimes controversial principles and implementing management system in different way. For these three companies valid conclusion is that there is no one single element that leads to positive result. Combination of several elements that well fits company situation and business circumstances is essential for success. Selection of governance approach and implementation of management system is driven by personal preferences and abilities of owner-manager.

It is essential to achieve fit of management system elements to business circumstances, rather implementing particular governance practices. For small and medium enterprises, this is even more important, as they are characterized by limited resources and competences. This also drives need to provide simple and easy way to use concepts, avoid misunderstandings and overlaps in management system, business model, strategy and other recognized management concepts.

Authors expect that this research will contribute to discussion on possible solutions that improve the SMEs management and sustainability. Conclusions from this research are relevant to those particular companies analyzed in this research, and shall not be generalized without additional research and validation. Other enterprises can use outcomes of this research to analyze their governance and management practices. Further analysis of the internal and external factors affecting SME management system and performance should be considered.

#### 7. REFERENCES

- [1] European Commission (2019). **Annual Report on European SMEs 2018** / **2019.** Retrieved from https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/cadb8188-35b4-11ea-ba6e-01aa75ed71a1/language-en
- [2] Bevir M. (2012), Governance: A very short introduction. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. ISBN 9780191646294
- [3] World Bank (1992). Governance and Development Washington, DC: World Bank.
- [4] United Nations (2009). What is Good Governance. United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific.
- [5] OECD (2015), G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance, OECD Publishing, Paris. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264236882-en
- [6] Schulz M. (2021) What does Governance mean? Retrieved from https://www.governancetoday.com/GT/Material/Governance what is it and why is it important .aspx
- [7] Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2008). Mastering the management system. Harvard Business Review, 86(1). http://doi.org/617-783-7500
- [8] International Organization for Standardization (2005). ISO
   9000:2005 Quality Management Systems Fundamentals and vocabulary. BS EN, 3, 30.
- [9] International Organization for Standardization (2015).
   Quality management principles. 2015, 20.
   http://doi.org/ISBN 978-92-67-10650-2
- [10] Garengo, P., & Biazzo, S. (2013). From ISO quality standards to an integrated management system: an implementation process in SME. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 24(3-4), 310. http://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2012.704282
- [11] Osterwalder, A., Pigneur, Y., & Tucci, C. (2005). Clarifying Business Models: Origins, Present, and Future of the Concept. Commun. Assoc. Inf. Syst., 16, 1.
- [12] Porter, M. E. (1996). What is Strategy? Harvard Business Review, 74(6), 61–78.
   http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.0355
- [13] Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004). Co-creating unique value with customers. Strategy & Leadership, 32(3), 4–9. http://doi.org/10.1108/10878570410699249
- [14] Dubickis, M., & Gaile-Sarkane, E. (2017). Transfer of know-how based on learning outcomes for development of open innovation. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 3(4). http://doi.org/10.1186/s40852-017-0053-4
- [15] Osterwalder A, Pigneur Y (2010). Business Model Generation – A Handbook for Visionaries, Game Changers and Challengers. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
- [16] Daft, R. L. (2020). Organization Theory and Design. Cengage Learning.
- [17] Gassmann, O., Enkel, E., & Chesbrough, H. (2010). The future of open innovation. R and D Management, 40(3), 213– 221. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9310.2010.00605.x
- [18] Yusr, M. M. (2016). Innovation capability and its role in enhancing the relationship between TQM practices and innovation performance. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 2(1), 6. http://doi.org/10.1186/s40852-016-0031-2

- [19] Wang, Y., & Poutziouris, P. (2010). Leadership Styles, Management Systems and Growth: Empirical Evidence From UK Owner-Managed SMEs. Journal of Enterprising Culture, 18(3), 331–354. http://doi.org/10.1142/S0218495810000604
- [20] Jaouen, A., & Lasch, F. (2013). A new typology of microfirm owner-managers. International Small Business Journal, (November), 1–25. http://doi.org/10.1177/0266242613498789