Digital Neuroplasticity: How Prolonged Technology Use Reshapes Neural Pathways Over Time

Areej ELSAYARY
College of Interdisciplinary Studies, Zayed University
Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

Jumana K. RAGAB
College of Biomedical Science, University of Birmingham
Dubai, United Arab Emirates

ABSTRACT

This study explores the impact of ethical artificial intelligence (AI) usage on university students' academic experiences, performance, and knowledge acquisition. Conducted at Zayed University in the UAE, this cross-sectional study assesses student perceptions of ethical AI practices, including fairness, transparency, and responsibility, using a conceptual framework adapted from prior research. Findings reveal that ethical AI practices, such as the responsible use of adaptive learning systems and AI-driven feedback mechanisms, significantly enhance students' academic outcomes while addressing issues like plagiarism and over-reliance on AI. However, challenges such as biases, reliability, and context understanding in AI tools highlight the need for enhanced development and guidelines. By integrating ethical considerations and reflecting on these challenges, this study underscores the importance of balancing AI's transformative potential with responsible implementation to foster equitable and effective learning environments. Recommendations for educators, students, and developers include promoting ethical AI usage, developing reliable systems, and enhancing awareness of privacy concerns to maximize AI's benefits in education.

Keywords: Ethical AI, academic performance, personalized learning, AI in education, academic integrity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The human brain is an extraordinarily complex and dynamic organ, constantly adapting and reorganizing itself to various environmental, experiential, and learning-related stimuli, a phenomenon known as neuroplasticity [1]. This remarkable ability enables the brain to acquire new skills, form memories, and recover from injury or dysfunction. Neuroplasticity is fundamental to human development, learning, and adaptability, serving as the brain's way of responding to the demands of an ever-changing world [2,3,4].

In recent decades, the exceptional rise of digital technologies has introduced a new dimension to neuroplasticity. Smartphones, computers, social media, virtual reality, and other digital tools have become ubiquitous, profoundly influencing how people interact with the world and each other. Recent research has shed light on how these pervasive technologies may shape the brain's neuroplastic processes over time [5,6,7]. As individuals engage with these technologies for prolonged periods, the brain undergoes structural and functional changes that reflect the unique demands and patterns of digital interaction [5,6].

This phenomenon, referred to as *digital neuroplasticity*, has both promising and concerning implications. On the one hand, technology-driven neuroplastic changes can enhance cognitive abilities such as multitasking, problem-solving, and visuospatial skills [8]. Digital environments also open opportunities for innovative education and cognitive training, particularly through adaptive learning platforms and virtual reality experiences [9]. On the other hand, these same changes may contribute to cognitive fatigue, reduced attention spans, and even behavioral addictions [8].

Given the thoughtful impact of digital neuroplasticity on human cognition and behavior, aligning this discussion with broader societal goals is essential. Understanding and utilizing digital technologies' effects on the brain addresses individual well-being and contributes to global priorities. This is where digital neuroplasticity intersects with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) [10, 11].

The implications of digital neuroplasticity align with several Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) set by the United Nations. For instance, advancements in digital learning tools support SDG 4: Quality Education by enhancing access to education and enabling personalized, effective learning experiences. Furthermore, understanding how digital technology affects mental health and cognitive function is crucial for addressing SDG 3: Good Health and Well-Being, particularly as mental health challenges related to technology use become more prominent. Finally, promoting equitable access to digital technologies and ensuring their design supports well-being aligns with SDG 10: Reduced Inequalities, ensuring no one is left behind in the digital age [11, 12].

Recognizing these intersections provides a foundation for exploring the broader implications of digital neuroplasticity and framing a focused investigation into its cognitive, emotional, and behavioral impacts. Accordingly, this paper aims to explore the relationship between digital technology use and neuroplasticity, examining how sustained interaction with digital environments reshapes the brain's neural pathways. By synthesizing the existing literature, this study seeks to identify the opportunities and risks associated with digital neuroplasticity and provide actionable strategies to utilize these changes for human cognitive and emotional well-being while mitigating potential harms. The following question was formulated to guide this discussion:

> *How does prolonged engagement with digital technologies influence neuroplasticity, and what are the implications of these changes for cognitive, emotional, and behavioral outcomes*

ISBN: 978-1-950492-84-8
ISSN: 2771-5914
https://doi.org/10.54808/IMCIC2025.01.158

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Positive Aspects of Digital Neuroplasticity

One key aspect of digital neuroplasticity is the brain's ability to adapt to the rapid pace and constant stimulation of digital environments [6, 8]. Digital interactions require individuals to process vast amounts of information quickly, manage multiple cognitive tasks simultaneously, and respond to dynamic changes in real-time. Studies have shown that extensive engagement with digital technologies enhances attentional capacities, such as rapidly shifting focus between tasks or sources of information [5, 8]. These adaptations suggest that the brain is optimizing its neural pathways to cope with digital demands, fostering improved multitasking and problem-solving skills. For example, individuals who regularly engage in video gaming often demonstrate enhanced decision-making speed, reaction times, and the ability to track multiple moving objects simultaneously, which are linked to increased connectivity in brain regions responsible for visuospatial processing and executive function [13]. These findings suggest that gaming and other interactive digital activities could play a role in enhancing cognitive abilities that are critical for navigating modern environments.

Digital technologies also present opportunities for cognitive enhancement in educational and professional settings. Virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and interactive learning applications engage the brain in novel and immersive ways, strengthening neural pathways and promoting skill acquisition [14, 15]. VR, for instance, has been effectively used in medical training, allowing practitioners to practice surgeries in realistic simulations, leading to measurable improvements in precision and procedural memory [12]. Similarly, AR applications in classroom settings have increased student engagement and retention by presenting complex concepts in visually compelling and interactive formats [9]. These technologies utilize the brain's natural neuroplasticity, creating tailored experiences that align with individual learning needs.

Additionally, educational applications that use gamification and adaptive learning algorithms are designed to promote memory retention and problem-solving. These apps often provide immediate feedback, reinforcing correct responses and encouraging neural pathways associated with reward and motivation[7]. For younger learners, digital tools such as language learning apps or math games can accelerate cognitive development by providing challenging yet achievable tasks, fostering resilience and adaptive problem-solving skills [5].

Interactive platforms, such as brain training programs, also contribute to positive neuroplastic changes. Programs like Lumosity or BrainHQ are designed to target specific cognitive skills, including memory, attention, and processing speed. Research suggests that consistent use of such tools leads to observable changes in brain activity, particularly in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, which are involved in working memory and decision-making [12]. Although the long-term efficacy of brain training programs is debated, the immediate benefits of engagement in cognitive exercises highlight the potential of digital tools to promote neuroplasticity.

Moreover, digital technologies provide avenues for social and emotional development. Platforms like virtual reality social spaces or online forums allow individuals to practice empathy and communication in diverse and controlled settings [6, 13]. For individuals with social anxiety or autism spectrum disorders, these tools can serve as a safe environment for social skill development, further enhancing neural connectivity in brain areas associated with emotional regulation and interpersonal understanding [10].

The potential of digital technologies to facilitate cognitive and emotional enhancement reflects their alignment with the brain's remarkable capacity for neuroplasticity. By providing dynamic and interactive environments, these tools encourage neural adaptations that extend beyond traditional learning and into real-world applications, including professional skill development, education, and social connectivity [16]. These technologies' ongoing refinement and implementation hold promise for addressing diverse cognitive and emotional challenges in an increasingly digital world.

Negative Aspects of Digital Neuroplasticity

Despite the many benefits of digital neuroplasticity, prolonged technology use has raised significant concerns regarding its adverse effects on brain health and functionality. One of the primary issues lies in persistent multitasking and divided attention, which are hallmark behaviors in digital environments. These behaviors require the brain to switch rapidly between tasks or stimuli, leading to a phenomenon often referred to as "*task-switching costs*" [8]. This constant switching reduces attentional control, hampers the ability to sustain focus on a single task, and increases overall cognitive fatigue. Over time, these patterns may impair the brain's capacity to engage in deep, sustained attention, a critical skill for problem-solving, creative thinking, and academic or professional productivity [8].

The addictive nature of specific technologies, mainly social media platforms and online gaming, has also been extensively documented. These technologies intentionally exploit the brain's reward and motivational systems through variable reward schedules, notifications, and social validation loops. Research has shown that these features trigger dopamine release, reinforcing usage behaviors and creating a dependency on frequent engagement [22]. Prolonged exposure to such stimuli can result in structural and functional changes in neural circuits, particularly in the ventral striatum and prefrontal cortex, which are involved in reward processing and impulse control. Over time, these changes may lead to behavioral addictions characterized by compulsive technology use, withdrawal symptoms, and negative impacts on daily functioning [24].

Another significant concern is the impact of digital environments on working memory and cognitive processing. Constant exposure to novel and fragmented stimuli in digital spaces often taxes the brain's limited working memory capacity. This reduces the ability to retain and manipulate information, essential for complex reasoning and decision-making. Furthermore, reliance on digital tools for crucial cognitive functions, such as memory retrieval and problem-solving, may foster a phenomenon known as "*cognitive offloading*" [5, 8]. While offloading can free up cognitive resources for other tasks, excessive dependence on digital aids might undermine the brain's natural capacity for memory retention and analytical thinking, leading to a gradual decline in cognitive autonomy [8, 16].

Emotional regulation is another area where prolonged technology use poses risks. Social media platforms, for instance, often expose users to curated and emotionally charged content, triggering negative emotions such as envy, anxiety, or inadequacy. Over time, these experiences can desensitize individuals to emotional stimuli, altering neural pathways involved in empathy and interpersonal understanding. Moreover, the frequent pursuit of digital rewards can lead to heightened emotional volatility and difficulty managing frustration as the brain becomes conditioned to expect instant gratification [6, 17].

Additionally, prolonged technology use has implications for sleep health, an often-overlooked aspect of cognitive and emotional well-being. Exposure to blue light emitted by screens disrupts circadian rhythms and suppresses melatonin production, a hormone crucial for sleep regulation [18, 19]. Poor sleep quality, in turn, exacerbates cognitive impairments such as reduced attention span, slower processing speed, and diminished emotional resilience, creating a feedback loop of adverse effects on brain function [20].

In conclusion, while digital neuroplasticity reflects the brain's remarkable ability to adapt to technological environments, the risks associated with prolonged and unbalanced technology use must not be underestimated. Addressing these challenges requires strategies that promote mindful and intentional technology use, emphasizing the importance of digital hygiene and balanced engagement to safeguard cognitive and emotional health.

Individual Differences in Digital Neuroplasticity

Digital neuroplasticity is not a uniform process; its effects vary widely among individuals based on age, personality, cultural background, and cognitive predispositions. One of the most significant determinants is age, as younger brains exhibit more neuroplasticity than older adults. This heightened plasticity in younger individuals allows for more rapid adaptation to digital environments, enabling them to develop skills such as multitasking, digital literacy, and familiarity with emerging technologies more readily [21]. However, this flexibility also comes with increased vulnerability. Younger brains may be more susceptible to the negative impacts of digital neuroplasticity, such as distraction, addictive behaviors, and difficulties in emotional regulation, as their neural pathways are still developing and can be easily influenced by repetitive digital engagement [6, 8].

While neuroplasticity is more limited for older adults, digital technologies still offer opportunities to engage in cognitive exercises that promote neural health and delay age-related cognitive decline. For instance, brain-training applications and interactive platforms can help older users maintain working memory, attention, and problem-solving skills [22]. However, adapting to new technologies may be more challenging for older adults due to reduced cognitive flexibility and a lack of prior exposure to digital environments during their formative years. As a result, the neuroplastic changes in older adults often occur more slowly and require sustained, deliberate effort to manifest [23].

Personality traits also play a crucial role in determining how individuals respond to digital stimuli. For example, individuals with high levels of conscientiousness may use technology more responsibly, balancing productive and recreational activities [24]. In contrast, those with traits like impulsivity or sensation-seeking may be more prone to overuse or addiction. Similarly, individuals with a predisposition to anxiety or social insecurity may gravitate toward digital interactions that provide a sense of control or anonymity, potentially exacerbating maladaptive usage patterns such as social media dependency or online gaming addiction [25].

Cultural influences further shape the impact of digital neuroplasticity. In highly tech-savvy societies, individuals are often immersed in digital environments from a young age, resulting in widespread neuroplastic changes that reflect the demands of constant connectivity and multitasking [8, 26]. In contrast, individuals from cultures with limited access to digital technology may experience slower or less pronounced neuroplastic adaptations when exposed to digital tools. Still, they may also be less susceptible to the negative effects of overuse [6, 8]. Additionally, cultural values surrounding technology use, for example, whether viewed as a tool for education or primarily for entertainment, can influence how individuals engage with digital platforms and the resulting cognitive and behavioral outcomes [27].

Socioeconomic factors also contribute to disparities in digital neuroplasticity. Access to digital technologies varies widely, with individuals from higher-income backgrounds often benefiting from advanced tools that facilitate cognitive enhancement, such as adaptive learning software and virtual reality systems [8]. In contrast, those from lower-income backgrounds may have limited access to such technologies, restricting their exposure to positive neuroplastic adaptations [26]. Conversely, unrestricted access without proper guidance in higher-income settings may lead to overuse and associated negative effects, such as behavioral addictions or reduced emotional resilience [28].

Finally, individual differences in learning styles and cognitive preferences can shape how digital neuroplasticity manifests. For example, visual learners may benefit more from augmented reality or video-based platforms, while kinesthetic learners might engage more effectively with hands-on simulations and interactive interfaces [29]. Understanding these preferences can help tailor digital interventions to maximize their benefits while minimizing cognitive strain or disengagement [30].

In summary, digital neuroplasticity is a deeply individual phenomenon influenced by a complex interplay of biological, psychological, and sociocultural factors. Recognizing these individual differences is crucial for designing digital tools and interventions that are inclusive, equitable, and attuned to the diverse needs of users across various demographics. This understanding can help mitigate risks while utilizing the potential of digital technologies to enhance cognitive and emotional well-being for all.

3. DISCUSSION

Balancing Benefits and Drawbacks

The relationship between digital technology use and neuroplasticity reveals a complex interplay between its adaptive potential and unintended consequences. Digital environments encourage the development of cognitive flexibility, particularly in multitasking and problem-solving. For instance, engaging with fast-paced tasks such as video games enhances neural connectivity in regions of the brain associated with attention, decision-making, and visuospatial processing [7]. Immersive technologies like virtual and augmented reality also facilitate skill acquisition by creating hands-on, realistic learning experiences [4]. These tools have proven particularly effective in fields such as medical training and engineering, where precision and procedural memory are critical. Additionally, adaptive learning platforms capitalize on the brain's neuroplastic capacity by customizing content delivery to individual learning speeds and styles, increasing retention and motivation [8]. Technologies designed for inclusivity, such as brain-computer interfaces, further demonstrate the positive potential of digital neuroplasticity by promoting neural recovery and skill acquisition in individuals with physical or cognitive impairments [14].

However, alongside these benefits, digital technology introduces several risks that stem from overuse or inappropriate engagement. One of the most prominent concerns is reduced attention spans due to habitual micro-tasking, where frequent switching between tasks diminishes sustained focus [8]. This behavior, encouraged by the design of many digital platforms, disrupts activity in the brain's default mode network, impairing reflection and deeper cognitive processing. Similarly, the overload of fragmented information in digital environments taxes working memory, reducing the brain's ability to retain and manipulate data effectively [18]. Over time, this reliance on external tools for memory retention and analytical thinking, known as cognitive offloading, weakens neural pathways critical for these functions.

Another significant drawback is the addictive nature of many digital platforms, particularly social media and gaming environments. These technologies often exploit neuroplastic mechanisms through variable reward schedules and social validation loops, which alter the brain's reward systems over time [19]. Such changes are especially evident in the ventral striatum and prefrontal cortex, leading to reduced impulse control and compulsive engagement. Behavioral addictions that emerge from this dynamic can disrupt daily functioning, strain interpersonal relationships, and diminish overall well-being [27]. Furthermore, prolonged digital engagement often affects emotional regulation. Social media, for instance, frequently exposes users to curated content that triggers negative emotions such as envy or inadequacy, leading to heightened emotional volatility [19]. Neural adaptations in areas such as the amygdala and prefrontal cortex may exacerbate difficulties in managing frustration and stress, reinforcing a cycle of emotional dysregulation [13].

Sleep disruption is another pervasive risk associated with prolonged digital use. The blue light emitted by screens interferes with the brain's circadian rhythms by suppressing melatonin production, a hormone essential for sleep regulation. Poor sleep quality from this disruption impairs attention and information processing and undermines emotional resilience [19]. Over time, this creates a feedback loop where cognitive and emotional impairments are compounded by inadequate rest, intensifying the negative consequences of digital engagement.

Addressing these challenges requires a proactive approach that combines user education, technological design, and mindful practices. Raising awareness about digital engagement's cognitive and emotional impacts can encourage more intentional technology use [29]. Programs focused on digital literacy can equip users with the skills to manage screen time effectively, avoid multitasking, and prioritize tasks that require sustained focus [26]. In parallel, developers can design technologies that foster healthy cognitive habits. Features such as focus-enhancing modes, reminders for breaks, and simplified interfaces can support users in maintaining balanced engagement [22].

Structured interventions can also mitigate the risks of digital overuse. Periodic digital detoxes allow the brain to recover from constant stimulation and strengthen neural pathways associated with non-digital tasks. Reading, meditation, or outdoor exploration provide deeper cognitive processing and emotional regulation opportunities (Firth et al., 2020). Combining digital tools with traditional teaching methods can ensure balanced learning in educational contexts. Schools can integrate technologies in ways that complement offline instruction, helping students develop skills without fostering dependency on digital aids [20]. Additionally, tailoring interventions to individual needs can optimize outcomes. For example, brain-training programs can target older adults to slow age-related cognitive decline, while digital wellness strategies can help high-risk individuals manage compulsive technology use [24].

This balance is essential for fostering cognitive, emotional, and social well-being in an increasingly digital world.

Implications for Mental Health and Education

The cognitive demands imposed by digital environments have far-reaching implications for mental health and education. For instance, virtual reality (VR) and adaptive learning applications provide innovative pathways for cognitive development [21]. By immersing users in dynamic and interactive experiences, these technologies strengthen neural pathways associated with memory, problem-solving, and attention. VR simulations have proven particularly effective in professional training scenarios, such as medical education, allowing practitioners to refine skills in realistic yet controlled settings [17]. Similarly, adaptive learning platforms utilize artificial intelligence to tailor educational content to individual needs, enhancing learning outcomes by aligning tasks with the learner's pace and capabilities [8].

However, the cognitive benefits of these tools come with risks, particularly the potential for cognitive overload. The rich and immersive nature of VR and other digital environments demands sustained attention and extensive information processing, which can overburden the brain's working memory and executive functions [11]. Prolonged engagement without appropriate pacing or breaks may lead to mental fatigue and diminish the effectiveness of these tools over time. The challenge lies in designing educational technologies that balance engagement with manageability, ensuring that users are cognitively stimulated without being overwhelmed [19].

Digital environments also influence mental health in more subtle ways. Technologies that foster collaboration and creativity in educational contexts can promote emotional well-being by encouraging positive social interactions and a sense of achievement [27]. On the other hand, overuse of these technologies or their incorporation without moderation may contribute to stress, anxiety, or reduced self-regulation, particularly in young learners who are more susceptible to the negative effects of digital neuroplasticity [15]. Addressing these issues requires a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between cognitive demands and emotional health, emphasizing the importance of digital hygiene and intentional use in educational settings.

The long-term integration of digital technologies into daily life is poised to reshape social behavior, learning methods, and mental health dynamics in profound ways. As digital tools become increasingly embedded in educational systems, workplaces, and social interactions, they will continue to drive changes in how individuals think, learn, and engage with one another [6]. These shifts carry both opportunities and challenges.

On a societal level, the widespread adoption of digital technologies could redefine traditional learning models by making education more accessible and personalized. Adaptive learning systems and online platforms have the potential to close gaps in educational equity, providing tailored learning experiences to students regardless of geographic or socioeconomic constraints [3]. However, the reliance on these tools risks exacerbating inequalities for those with limited access to technology or digital literacy skills, highlighting the need for equitable policies and infrastructure investments [2].

In mental health, the persistent integration of digital tools could influence emotional well-being and social connectivity over time. While technologies that foster collaboration and communication have the potential to strengthen social bonds, they may also contribute to feelings of isolation and anxiety if used excessively or in ways that discourage face-to-face interaction [15]. The neurological adaptations driven by prolonged engagement with digital environments could alter emotional regulation, increasing susceptibility to instant gratification and decreasing resilience to frustration [22].

Policymakers and educators must take a proactive approach to address these implications. This includes crafting policies that promote balanced technology use, integrating lessons on digital wellness into educational curricula, and fostering environments where technology enhances rather than detracts from cognitive and emotional well-being. Such strategies must be forward-looking, anticipating digital neuroplasticity's long-term effects to ensure its benefits outweigh its risks [8]. By doing so, society can harness digital technologies' transformative potential while safeguarding future generations' mental and emotional health.

4. RESEARCH GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite the growing body of literature on digital neuroplasticity, several critical research gaps remain, necessitating deeper exploration and collaboration across disciplines. One key area requiring attention is the need for longitudinal studies that examine the sustained effects of digital neuroplasticity over extended periods. While existing studies provide insights into short-term neural adaptations, little is known about how these changes evolve or persist over decades of prolonged technology use. Long-term research could shed light on whether digital-induced neuroplastic changes are reversible, whether they compound over time, and how they affect cognitive, emotional, and social outcomes across the lifespan.

Cross-disciplinary collaboration is also essential to advance understanding in this field. Neuroscientists, psychologists, and technologists must work together to design user-centered technologies that align with the brain's cognitive and emotional needs. Neuroscientific insights into how the brain adapts to digital environments can guide the development of technologies that enhance cognitive abilities without overloading users. At the same time, input from psychologists can help address the emotional and behavioral implications of digital use, while technologists can ensure that these considerations are integrated into practical, scalable designs. Collaborative efforts will ensure a holistic approach to studying and managing the effects of digital neuroplasticity.

Another critical gap lies in understanding age-related differences in digital neuroplasticity. Younger brains, characterized by heightened plasticity, adapt more quickly to digital environments but are also more vulnerable to the negative effects of overuse, such as distraction and emotional dysregulation. In contrast, older adults, whose neuroplasticity is less robust, may experience slower adaptation to digital tools but could benefit from interventions that enhance cognitive resilience and delay age-related decline. Research focusing on these age-specific dynamics is vital for tailoring digital interventions to the needs of different populations, from children navigating early developmental stages to older adults seeking to maintain cognitive health.

Finally, there is a need for targeted research into interventions that can mitigate the adverse effects of prolonged technology use. Programs promoting mindful technology use, such as digital detox initiatives or incorporating screen-free periods into daily routines, hold promise for counteracting cognitive fatigue and behavioral dependencies. Similarly, interventions to improve digital literacy and encourage intentional engagement with digital tools could help users navigate technology’s benefits while minimizing risks. Exploring these strategies through empirical research will provide actionable insights into how individuals can balance their digital interactions to support long-term cognitive and emotional well-being.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper has explored the multifaceted relationship between prolonged digital technology use and neuroplasticity, shedding light on its cognitive, emotional, and behavioral implications. By synthesizing existing literature, the study highlights the brain's remarkable ability to adapt to digital environments, resulting in both beneficial and detrimental outcomes. On the positive side, technologies like virtual reality, adaptive learning platforms, and brain-training applications foster skill acquisition, cognitive flexibility, and enhanced problem-solving abilities. Conversely, risks such as reduced attention spans, impaired working memory, emotional dysregulation, and behavioral addiction underscore the challenges posed by excessive or unbalanced digital engagement.

The central question guiding this discussion was *how prolonged engagement with digital technologies influences neuroplasticity and what implications these changes have for cognitive, emotional, and behavioral outcomes.* While digital neuroplasticity offers significant opportunities to enhance human potential, it also demands mindful strategies to mitigate its negative effects. The findings emphasize the importance of designing and using technology in ways that align with the brain’s natural capacities, promoting cognitive growth and emotional resilience while avoiding harm.

Furthermore, addressing the broader societal implications of digital neuroplasticity underscores the need for interdisciplinary collaboration among researchers, educators, and policymakers. This collaboration is crucial to developing evidence-based interventions and equitable access to digital tools, ensuring that their benefits are widely distributed while minimizing disparities and risks.

In conclusion, understanding the mechanisms and impacts of digital neuroplasticity is essential for navigating an increasingly digital world. By embracing a balanced approach to technology use, grounded in research and guided by intentional practices, society can leverage the brain's adaptive potential to foster cognitive and emotional well-being while safeguarding against its vulnerabilities. This understanding not only addresses individual well-being but also contributes to global priorities such as quality education, health, and equality, ensuring that the transformative power of digital technologies enhances human life in sustainable and equitable ways.

6. REFERENCES

[1] J. D. Power and B. L. Schlaggar, **"Neural plasticity across the lifespan,"** *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Developmental Biology*, vol. 6, no. 1, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1002/wdev.216.

[2] R. Von Bernhardi, L. E.-V. Bernhardi, and J. Eugenín, "**What is neural plasticity?,"** *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, pp. 1–15, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-62817-2_1.

[3] M. Jemni *et al.*, **"Exercise improves depression through positive modulation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). A review based on 100 manuscripts over 20 years,"** *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 14, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1102526.

[4] P. Mateos-Aparicio and A. Rodríguez-Moreno, "**The impact of studying brain plasticity,"** *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, vol. 13, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.3389/fncel.2019.00066.

[5] J. A. Firth, J. Torous, and J. Firth, "**Exploring the impact of internet use on memory and attention processes**," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 17, no. 24, p. 9481, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.3390/ijerph17249481.

[6] G. W. Small *et al.*, **"Brain health consequences of digital technology use,"** *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 179–187, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.31887/dcns.2020.22.2/gsmall.

[7] H. H. Wilmer, L. E. Sherman, and J. M. Chein, **"Smartphones and Cognition: A Review of Research Exploring the Links between Mobile Technology Habits and Cognitive Functioning,"** *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 8, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00605.

[8] M. Shanmugasundaram and A. Tamilarasu, **"The impact of digital technology, social media, and artificial intelligence on cognitive functions: a review,"** *Frontiers in Cognition*, vol. 2, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.3389/fcogn.2023.1203077.

[9] V. Brugada-Ramentol, A. Bozorgzadeh, and H. Jalali, "**Enhance VR: a multisensory approach to cognitive training and monitoring,"** *Frontiers in Digital Health*, vol. 4, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2022.916052.

[10] M. S. Hoosain, B. S. Paul, and S. Ramakrishna, "**The impact of 4IR Digital Technologies and circular Thinking on the United Nations Sustainable Development goals,"** *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 23, p. 10143, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.3390/su122310143.

[11] K. O'Sullivan, S. Clark, K. Marshall, and M. MacLachlan, "**A Just Digital framework to ensure equitable achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals,"** *Nature Communications*, vol. 12, no. 1, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-26217-8.

[12] C. Burr, M. Taddeo, and L. Floridi, "**The Ethics of Digital Well-Being: A Thematic Review,"** *Science and Engineering Ethics*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 2313–2343, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11948-020-00175-8.

[13] S. Röhlcke, C. Bäcklund, D. E. Sörman, and B. Jonsson, **"Time on task matters most in video game expertise,"** *PLoS ONE*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. e0206555, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0206555.

[14] C. Cinel, D. Valeriani, and R. Poli, **"Neurotechnologies for Human Cognitive Augmentation: Current state of the art and Future Prospects,**" *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, vol. 13, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2019.00013.

[15] D. Georgiev, I. Georgieva, Z. Gong, V. Nanjappan, and G. Georgiev, **"Virtual reality for neurorehabilitation and cognitive enhancement,"** *Brain Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 221, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.3390/brainsci11020221.

[16] S. Grinschgl and A. C. Neubauer, "**Supporting cognition with modern technology: Distributed cognition today and in an AI-Enhanced future,"** *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 5, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.3389/frai.2022.908261.

[17] A. Verma, S. Islam, V. Moghaddam, and A. Anwar, **"Digital emotion regulation on social media**," *Computer*, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 82–89, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1109/mc.2023.3332331.

[18] J. Chen and M. Liang, "**Play hard, study hard? The influence of gamification on students' study engagement**," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 13, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.994700.

[19] S. Wahl, M. Engelhardt, P. Schaupp, C. Lappe, and I. V. Ivanov, "**The inner clock—Blue light sets the human rhythm**," *Journal of Biophotonics*, vol. 12, no. 12, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1002/jbio.201900102.

[20] D. P. Cardinali, G. M. Brown, and S. R. Pandi-Perumal, **"Melatonin's benefits and risks as a therapy for sleep disturbances in the elderly: current insights,"** *Nature and Science of Sleep*, vol. Volume 14, pp. 1843–1855, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.2147/nss.s380465.

[21] C. Pliatsikas, "**Understanding structural plasticity in the bilingual brain: The Dynamic Restructuring Model**," *Bilingualism Language and Cognition*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 459–471, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1017/s1366728919000130.

[22] C. Leung *et al.*, "**The application of technology to improve cognition in older adults: A review and suggestions for future directions,**" *PsyCh Journal*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 583–599, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1002/pchj.565.

[23] A. R. Smith *et al.*, **"Advancing clinical neuroscience through enhanced tools: Pediatric social anxiety as an example,"** *Depression and Anxiety*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 701–711, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1002/da.22937.

[24] C. Fullwood, C. Wesson, J. Chen-Wilson, M. Keep, T. Asbury, and L. Wilsdon, **"If the Mask Fits: Psychological Correlates with Online Self-Presentation Experimentation in Adults,**" *Cyberpsychology Behavior and Social Networking*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 737–742, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1089/cyber.2020.0154.

[25] V. Henzel and A. Håkansson, "**Hooked on virtual social life. Problematic social media use and associations with mental distress and addictive disorders,**" *PLoS ONE*, vol. 16, no. 4, p. e0248406, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0248406.

[26] M. E. Beaudoin, K. M. Jones, B. Jerome, D. Martinez, T. George, and N. B. Pandža, "**Systematic research is needed on the potential effects of lifelong technology experience on cognition: a mini-review and recommendations**," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 15, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1335864.
[27] A. ElSayary, **"The Problematic Internet Use and Its Impact on Young People's Online Moral Disengagement**," in *Interdisciplinary Approaches for Educators' and Learners' Well-being: Transforming Education for Sustainable Development.*, 2024, pp. 203–212. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-65215-8_16.
[28] S. Goering *et al.*, **"Recommendations for responsible development and application of neurotechnologies**," *Neuroethics*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 365–386, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s12152-021-09468-6.
[29] N. Abdamia, F. Puteh, and N. J. A. Jah, "**Investigating Learning Modalities among Diploma Students,"** *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, vol. 12, no. 2, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.6007/ijarped/v12-i2/16552.
[30] A. Skulmowski and K. M. Xu, **"Understanding Cognitive Load in Digital and Online Learning: a New Perspective on Extraneous Cognitive Load,**" *Educational Psychology Review*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 171–196, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10648-021-09624-7.