

Statistics and Opinions Regarding The 13th World Multi-Conference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics: WMSCI 2009, and its Collocated Conferences and Symposia

Conference Organizing Committee

Our objective in this document is to provide its reader with (1) some statistics that were included in the forewords of the conferences proceedings, and (2) evaluations and comments related to the online survey which all attendees were asked to fill right after the conferences were over.

Statistics

As it was written in the forward of the proceedings, 712 submissions were received for WMSCI 2009, which were sent to 2176 scholars, researchers and professionals for their respective reviews. These reviewers made 3456 reviews; which means an average of 4.85 reviews per submission. The 255 papers included the WMSCI 2009 proceedings were all based on these reviews.

The following table shows these statistics for WMSCI 2009 and its collocated conferences.

Conference	# of submissions received	# of reviewers assigned	# of reviews made	Average of reviews per reviewer	Average of Reviews per submission	# of papers included in the proceedings	% of submissions included in the proceedings
WMSCI 2009	712	2176	3456	1.59	4.85	255	35.81%
IMETI 2009	723	1833	3556	1.94	4.92	207	28.63%
IMSCI 2009	363	942	1734	1.84	4.78	129	35.54%
CISCI 2009	615	1565	3156	2.02	5.13	184	29.92%
TOTAL	2413	6516	11902	1.83	4.93	775	32.12%

Opinions

Right after the collocated conferences were over, all attendees and Program Committee members were surveyed. 1159 visited the survey web form and 789 filled it. To the following question "Could you please grade the conference's organizational process of the conference from 1 to 10?" they answered with an average of 8.64 on a scale of 10. 180 scholars rated the conference at the maximum of 10, 160 rated it at 9, and 155 at 8. This means that 62.74% rated the 2009 collocated conferences in the range of 8-10 on a scale of 10. Just 7 (0.887%) attendees rated it below 5 on a scale of 10. More details regarding this question and others can be found in the following tables.

**Questions regarding the quality of the collocated conferences,
their registration desk, the selected venue and
other events organized in the context of the conferences.**

Questions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Could you please grade the conference's organizational process from 1 to 10?	2		2	3	8	21	49	155	160	180
Could your please grade the registration desk service from 1 to 10?	1	1	1	5	3	5	11	30	44	96
Could you please grade the hotel service were the conference was held, and not dependent on the conference organization?			2	1	10	9	22	50	52	44
Could you please grade the conference's events held at the hotel?			2	1	10	9	22	50	52	44

Questions	# of participants answering the question	Average
Could you please rate the conference's organizational process on a scale of 1 to 10?	580	8.64
Could your please grade the registration desk service from 1 to 10?	197	8.85
Could you please grade the hotel service were the conference was held, and not dependent on the conference organization?	190	8.29
Could you please grade conference's events the events held at the hotel?	192	8.21

Number of Attendees Willing to Participate in the Following 2010 Conferences
(The question regarding the willingness of the attendees to participate in the Program Committee was asked only to authors of the sessions' best papers)

. I would like to chair, or co-chair a session in 2009 conferences.	295
. I would like to participate in the Program Committee of 2009 conferences	354
. I would like to participate as a reviewer of 2009 conferences.	680
. I would like to organize an invited session in W2009 conferences.	107
. I would like to suggest the name of an organization that might be willing to be an academic/scientific/professional (non financial) co-sponsor of the conference.	59

Comments made by the participants of the 2009 conferences

Comments of those who participated in the 2009 conferences have been classified as follows. The respective lists have been elaborated via copy and paste (with slight proofreading and no editing) from comments made by conferences' attendees to the online survey they filled after the conferences were over. In appendix A we listed the comments in the chronological order they were made and registered in our data base.

The following comment were made as answer to our question "Could you please inform us about the good things you found in the conference so we can keep them or even improve them?"

General Assessment

- This is a wonderful conference - keep up the great work!
- It is a very good event
- As a whole the conference is a success
- This conference has tremendous contribution to its theme itself as an essential futuristic initiative on understanding global knowledge dissemination methods.
- I find deeply interesting the methodology applied in this scientific meeting for the exchange of novel ideas and recent advances in the different fields of technology engineering and science.
- Every thing was perfect during the last two years.
- Did not find any bad things every thing organized in an excellent fashion.
- So far so good
- Keep up the good work!
- Conference is very good!!
- Attending the conference was a happy experience.
- I was really pleased with everything about EISTA - Organization virtual participation communication.
- I see that this conference is very good.
- I found the program very good
- Your society has been covering so many fields of Engineering
- Efficiency and efficiency ...
- It was a very good venue to meet scholars around the world and have academic exchanges.
- I think that the best is the opportunity to meet international researchers and their works.
- All the leaders of the conference are great friendly and encouraging!
- The leaders and participants are always kind and congenial.
- I think the conference BMIC was good organized and fulfilled assumed role.
- It was a very good venue to meet scholars around the world and have academic exchanges.
- Your conference was very effective for young researchers and a lot of academics have got agreement with their work.

Papers and Quality

- High quality papers
- I thoroughly enjoyed the workshop on Sign and Space by Prof. Louis H. Kauffman. The 4-DVD package related to Prof. Stuart A. Umplebys tutorial on cybernetics is a treasure.
- The papers were impressive and applicable.
- The papers were interesting.
- Quality of contents
- The papers were effective and interesting.
- The papers were relevant to the conference.
- the papers presented were very interesting (some of them even challenging)
- The depth of expertise was evident and wonderful.
- Large coverage good impact.
- It is the fact that there are many sessions and many high level presentations.
- Very interesting conference where participants generate a lot of new ideas.
- Very good organization and quality of the presented meetings.
- Interdisciplinary and quality of the papers
- The papers were efficient.
- The presentations at the various break-out sessions of the collated conferences speak of the importance of cybernetics informatics systemics engineering and technological innovation for society.
- Good the organization was good the sessions were also interesting
- The idea of pre-conference peer-reviewing is a great asset.

Conference Organization

- Good organization
- Excellent organization
- Outstanding organization
- Excellent organization and support.
- Well organized
- Congratulations for all organizational aspects. Conference is very good!!
- The organization was perfect.
- Very well organized. Commendations.
- This conference had well timed and well organized coffee break out sessions which is an important aspect
- The organization during the conference is very professional.
- Communication with administration/organizers related to the conference in itself was fast.
- Did not find any bad things every thing organized in an excellent fashion.
- The documentation was complete at the beginning of the conference.
- I think that the online system that supports the conference works excellent for all required conference actions and is very helpful to the program committee members.
- Sessions organization international atmosphere
- The conference was well organized.

- I attended all four days of WMSCI 2009. I found that the Conference was perfectly organized the papers presented were very interesting (some of them even challenging) and the chosen hotel (Rosen Centre Hotel) just excellent.
- It was very well organized.
- The ability to informally network during break time and the dinner event was wonderful.
- The information about the conference program was perfect. I really appreciate it.
- Very good organization and efficient reviewing process.
- Large coverage good organization.
- Please keep organizers effort
- Secretariat and session organization
- Very good organization and quality of the presented meetings.
- Despite the huge amount of papers that have been accepted and had to be included into the conference the overall organization and communication with conference attendees and presenters was smooth and reliable. Thank you to the organizers!
- The Organizing Committee worked perfectly. It was a great Conference Dinner. Keep it up!
- Organization of the sessions timing
- I think that the timeframe given to authors of papers is well scheduled as well as the time given for the presentation and discussion of the papers.
- Very good organization informedness and conference world.
- Online registration and information was clear
- The communications from the organizers prior to the conference
- Registration booth/ prompt email response
- Level of automation in organizing the conference was generally good.
- The website is convenient for us
- Website was well organized.
- Good communication with organizers
- I like the organizational process and structure of the committees in the conference.
- Well organized.
- Very good communication.
- I think the conference BMIC was good organized and fulfilled assumed role.
- The conference organization is very good so not real complains about it.
- I appreciated a good and easy communication and web interaction during this process
- The web site is very informative....
- The staff of the conference organization process
- The punctuality of the events was remarkable.
- Very professional organisation

Peer Reviewing

- Review process happens completely remotely and so does not require travel for a TPC meeting.
- I really liked that you sent us some guidelines for reviews. Reviewing criterias were useful in my other similar works.

- Very good organization and efficient reviewing process.
- The review process is organized very competently.
- P2P review
- Well organized. In particular the authors could view the reviewers comments and view all papers in the session in advance and making comments. Attending the conference was a happy experience.
- Good timing for reviewing and flexibility in topic choices
- The reviewing process
- The review process is organized very competently.
- Fast review process. Also the selected papers shall be included the other famous journals as well as JSCI.
- Good review mechanism.
- The review process involving more scholars from various regions of the world stands as an asset to consolidate and extend.
- Online review is good
- I was very happy as a reviewer for the time I had to review what I had to review. It was really enough and I did not feel pushed to complete my reviewing work.
- The review process and the conference proceedings.
- One good thing I found in the conference is that the on-line review system is very convenient.
- The review procedure is also very efficient
- Very professional organization (website review process) - and very friendly - THANKS!

Multi-Disciplinary Orientation and Diversity

- Representation of different disciplines.
- I find deeply interesting the methodology applied in this scientific meeting for the exchange of novel ideas and recent advances in the different fields of technology engineering and science.
- The positive aspect is a numerous participation and variety of countries.
- Convenience and multi-conferenced.
- The variety of presenters was very good.
- Large coverage good impact.
- The colorfulness and sometimes exoticness variety of the topics presented at the conference can also be seen as positive and interesting for conference attendees who just want to learn about others research without having expectations on what the results could bring to their own research. Despite the huge amount of papers that have been accepted and had to be included into the conference the overall organization and communication with conference attendees and presenters was smooth and reliable. Thank you to the organizers!
- Large coverage good organization.
- The attendees were from a very broad range of disciplines
- The international focus and diversity of topics is an important attribute of the conference.
- Interdisciplinary and quality of the papers

- Diversity of papers and attendees
- the multidiscipline of the fields are the best things
- One of the best things has been that the conference in fact has consists of 3 separate conferences what could be an advantage if more participants from one organization would have participated.
- Multi-disciplinarity, virtual participation, approaching several emerging areas of research on knowledge and innovation and bridging important gaps between theory and applied research especially in computer (software) engineering education
- Participation from many countries around the globe
- Versatile program. Participants from different parts of the world.
- Diversity of paper topics and attendees.
- Good was the multi-disciplinary range of the presentations in general
- The timing (10-13 July) was well selected and also the schedule was well prepared.
- A lot of different kinds of papers
- It was great to have the scale of choice available
- It was really International Conference.
- The conference encourages the participation of people being at different levels of specialization and working in different fields.
- Also the collation of several conference sub-themes is a good one. I attended many sessions devoted to education/pedagogy which after all is part of my professional life as well. I think you might want to advertise this particular point better as it is a unique selling point.
- Diversity of people (field of research countries ...)
- Representation of different disciplines
- Sessions organization international atmosphere

Plenary Sessions

- I believe that the General Joint Plenary Session (with plated Breakfast) were fantastic because you created a environment to learn and discuss important topics.
- The plenary keynote presentations were very interesting and captivating.
- The morning keynote sessions and the invited sessions were some of my favorites.
- Always NEW things and High quality in plenary talks in the morning
- Invited sessions
- Plenary session with meal.
- Breakfast scheduling key-note speakers
- The staff of the conference organization process and the registration desk service.
- Breakfast with plenary sessions
- I really enjoyed the breakfast meeting times in the morning before the first sessions of the day; I found them to be relaxing and useful in terms of making contacts.
- I liked the key note speeches in the morning during breakfast. Although not all of the key note speakers were very good.
- I have found general plenary session to be very useful.
- The plenary lectures during the breakfast were very interesting and of a high quality.
- I attended IMETI in 2009 for first time. There were many good things around like common breakfast with talks etc.

- The daily opening by plenary sessions with keynotes.
- The breakfast lectures are good. The choice of speakers were related with main themes.
- The key note speakers presentation at the breakfast time was very interesting and was innovative way of using time effectively.

Registration Desk

- The registration of participants is very well done in a very organized manner. The Program documentation / kit is very good and useful to the participants. Majority of the organizing committee members and staff were very accomodating helpful and friendly
- The registration staff are so kind and the process carried so easy
- Registration booth/ prompt email response
- The registration desk service is good.
- Check-in was very smooth.
- Registration desk service and coffee breaks services. All of them are good. Thanks.

Venue

- The chosen hotel (Rosen Centre Hotel) just excellent.
- The location (Rosen Center) was excellent.
- Hotel rooms (seminar rooms)
- The localization; The Hotel
- The hotel had agreed with competitive prices.
- I enjoyed the venue.
- The location of the conference provides a professional frame for the conference.
- Location of the conference is great; the rooms are well equipped and well lit for projection.
- Orlando is a good location that CITSA conference takes place there.
- The location of the conference Orlando
- The location was fine, food was good

Logistical Support and Social Events

- The logistical organisation was excellent.
- Within the session rooms the IT worked very well and the rooms were comfortable.
- It was a great Conference Dinner.
- Providing a pen-laser-pointer in each registration packet was brilliant.
- Choice of Orlando for the conference is superb.
- Breakfast with plenary sessions
- The social events: Dinner etc. were very positive and enjoyable.
- The rooms are well equipped and well lit for projection.
- I found the program very good it was easy to read through it and find the sessions one was interested in going to.
- The location was fine food was good
- I really enjoyed the welcome cocktail very good food a nice opportunity to chat with colleagues nice music.

- I attended IMETI in 2009 for first time. There were many good things around like common breakfast with talks etc.
- Conference halls projectors and computers... coffee breaks services. All of them are good. Thanks.
- This conference had well timed and well organized coffee break out sessions which is an important aspect to all participants as it is one of the key networking sessions of the day or the only networking session for some.

Invited Sessions

- The morning keynote sessions and the invited sessions were some of my favorites.
- Invited sessions

Best papers

- The awards for papers I feel is a good incentive to present interesting presentations
- I liked the recognition given to the best papers for each session
- The recognition of the best papers for the session
- Best papers for each session being put into a journal. This is a major positive factor.
- Awards (best paper etc.)

Conversational Sessions

- The discussion sessions on peer review were excellent. I hope that the conversations will continue past the conference.
- I attended several extra discussion sessions and found these useful.

Virtual Participation

- The possibility of virtual participation was good.
- I was really pleased with everything about EISTA - Organization virtual participation communication.
- Well organized. In particular the authors could view the reviewers comments and view all papers in the session in advance and making comments.
- virtual participation approaching several emerging areas of research on knowledge and innovation and bridging important gaps between theory and applied research especially in computer (software) engineering education
- Virtual presentations.
- The Virtual Participations are very useful.

Appendix A

Appendix A shows ALL the answers that attendees of the IIS' 2009 collocated conferences gave to the question "Could you please inform us about the good things you found in the conference so we can keep them or even improve them?" (Comments in Spanish and in Portuguese were removed, and no proofreading was made)

The registration of participants is very well done in a very organized manner. The Program documentation / kit is very good and useful to the participants. Majority of the organizing committee members and staff were very accomodating helpful and friendlyThe web site is very informative....
keep up the good work!
Excellent organization and support.
The positive aspect is a numerous participation and variety of countries.
Convenience and multi-conferenced.
Hotel rooms (seminar rooms) breakfast scheduling key-note speakers
Very good organization and quality of the presented meetings.
I thoroughly enjoyed the workshop on Sign and Space by Prof. Louis H. Kauffman. The 4-DVD package related to Prof. Stuart A. Umplebys tutorial on cybernetics is a treasure. The plenary keynote presentations were very interesting and captivating. The presentations at the various break-out sessions of the collated conferences speak of the importance of cybernetics informatics systemics engineering and technological innovation for society.
The localization; The Hotel The staff the conference organization process the registration desk service.
breakfast with plenary sessions
I liked the key note speeches in the morning during breakfast. Although not all of the key note speakers were very good.
Communication with administration/organizers related to the conference intself was fast.
I think that the online system that supports the conference works excellent for all required conference actions and is very helpful to the program committee members.
-
-
The hotel had agreed with competitive prices. The documentation was complete at the beginning of the conference.
This is a wonderful conference - keep up the great work!
Sine I could not attend any of your conferences I am unable to opine and give feedback. But for persons like me (from India) who is long associated with this conference ther4e should be some support to attend the conference.

Congratualitons for allo organizational aspects.Conference is very good!!

The variety of presenters was very good. I enjoyed the venue. Organization of the conference needs serious overhauling.

The location of the conference provides a professional frame for the conference. Also the social events Dinner etc. were very positive and enjoyable.The organization during the conference is very professional. The contact via Email with the organizing committee in preparation of our invited session at the conference in 2008 sometimes was "slow". Sure there is a lot of mail-traffic due to the lots of participants but it would be good to have quicker answers especially for issues concerning the organization of an invited session.

Large coverage good impact.

the awards for papers I feel is a good incentive to present interesting presentations

I was really pleased with everything about EISTA - organisation virtual participation communication.

(Sorry not a good thing) I would like to receive a certificate for being the chair or co-chair of a session. From front desk they told me to send e-mail to get the certificate for being the chair of a session of CCCT-2009. I sent e-mail; but did not receive any reply/certificate.

Large coverage good organization.

-

It is the fact that there are many sessions and many high level presentations.

I see that this conference is very good.

It is a very good event

invited sessions

Location of the conference is great; the rooms are well equipped and well lit for projecttion; should have coffee/tea during all coffee breaks

Well organised. In particular the authors could view the reviewers comments and view all papers in the session in advance and making comments. Attending the conference was a happy experience.

organization of the sessions timing

I found the programme very good it was easy to read through it and find the sessions one was interested in going to. I really enjoyed the welcome cocktail very good food a nice opportunity to chat with colleagues nice music.

Did not attend co-author with Richard Pennington.

As a co-author I didnt particiapate.

One of the best things has been that the conference in fact has consists of 3 separate conferences what could be an advantage if more participants from one organization would have participated. But in my case as i was the only one participant this potential advantage was in fact a disadvantage as it was very difficult to choose which sessions to attend

multidisciplinary virtual participation approaching several emerging areas of research on knowledge and innovation and bridging important gaps between theory and applied research especially in computer (software) engineering education

I was very happy as a reviewer for the time I had to review what I had to review. It was really enough and I did not feel pushed to complete my reviewing work.

I could not attend the Conference but is for the second time when I publish to MEI2007/IMETI2009.

The papers were interesting.

The papers were effective and interesting.

The papers were relevant to the conference.

The information about the conference program was perfect. I really appreciate it.

awards (best paper etc.)

Participation from many countries around the globe

the organisation was perfect.

I attended several extra discussion sessions and found these useful. Perhaps list these in the conference booklet.

The review process and the conference proceedings.

Versatile programme. Participants from different parts of the world.

Virtual presentations.

The punctuality of the events was remarkable.

as a whole the conference is a success

I have found general plenary session to be very useful.

I think that the timeframe given to authors of papers is well scheduled as well as the time given for the presentation and discussion of the papers.

Very good organization informedness and conference world.

The plenary lectures during the breakfast were very interesting and of a high quality.

One good thing I found in the conference is that the on-line review system is very convenient. And the review procedure is also very efficient. In addition the Virtual Participations are very useful.

diversity of paper topics

diversity of papers and attendees

see other survey from me

I attended IMETI in 2009 for first time. There were many good things around like common breakfast with talks etc.

High quality papers

Very well organized. Commendations.

Orlando is a good location that CITSA conference takes place there. I like the city.

It was a very good venue to meet scholars around the world and have academic exchanges.

conference halls projectors and computers. in addition registration desk service and coffee breaks services. all of them are good. thanks.

good was the multi disciplinary range of the presentations in general perhaps it would be better to organize the sessions a little bit more according to the technical content of the presentations.

1- The communications from the organizers prior to the conference. 2- The location of the conference Orlando 3- The timing (10-13 July) was well selected and also the schedule was well prepared. 4- I am not sure if it would be possible to organize the conference again in Orlando. This was one of the reasons that many attended.

online registration and information was clear the location was fine food was good the organization was good the sessions were also interesting. I have no other comments here

The daily opening by plenary sessions with keynotes.

I find deeply interesting the methodology applied in this scientific meeting for the exchange of novel ideas and recent advances in the different fields of technology engineering and science.

A lot of different kind of paper

It was great to have the scale of choice available and the logistical organization was excellent.

I believe that the General Joint Plenary Session (with plated Breakfast) were fantastic because you created an environment to learn and discuss important topics.

I really enjoyed the breakfast meeting times in the morning before the first sessions of the day; I found them to be relaxing and useful in terms of making contacts.

well organized but I would prefer more plenary in lieu of small breakout sessions.

Your conference was very effective for young researchers and a lot of academics have got agreement to their work.

It was really an International Conference.

The breakfast lectures are good. The choice of speakers could be related with main themes.

The co-author (Mr. Asish K. Mohapatra) of the paper attended and presented the paper in the conference. The conference was well organized.

quality of contents

The conference encourages the participation of people being at different levels of specialization and working in different fields.

Always NEW things and High quality in plenary talks in the morning.

The key note speakers presentation at the breakfast time was very interesting and was an innovative way of using time effectively.

The idea of pre-conference peer-reviewing is a great asset. Also the collation of several conference sub-themes is a good one. I attended many sessions devoted to

education/pedagogy which after all is part of my professional life as well. I think you might want to advertise this particular point better as it is a unique selling point.

Diversity of people (field of research countries ...). Very professional organisation (website review process) - and very friendly - THANKS!

The papers were impressive and applicable.

good organization

Kaluyga was a great speaker. I would recommend inviting him back.

Representation of different disciplines. Suggestion for amelioration: talks of the same disciplines could perhaps be better put together in groups for example the mathematical oriented talks.

I find deeply interesting the methodology applied in this scientific meeting for the exchange of novel ideas and recent advances in the different fields of technology engineering and science.

--

This conference had well timed and well organized coffee break out sessions which is an important aspect to all participants as its one of the key networking sessions of the day or the only networking session for some.

sessions organization international atmosphere

Did not find any bad things every thing organized in an excellent fashion.

so far so good

The conference was well organised.

I attended all four days of WMSCI 2009. I found that the Conference was perfectly organized the papers presented were very interesting (some of them even challenging) and the chosen hotel (Rosen Centre Hotel) just excellent.

It was very well organized.

The depth of expertise was evident and wonderful. The ability to informally network during break time and the dinner event was wonderful. Within the session rooms the IT worked very well and the rooms were comfortable. The morning keynote sessions and the invited sessions were some of my favorites.

I liked the recognition given to the best papers for each session

Review process happens completely remotely and so does not require travel for a TPC meeting.

Your society has been covering so many fields of Engineering

I really liked that you sent us some guidelines for reviews. Reviewing criterias were usefull in my other similar works.

Efficiency and efficiency ...

Very good organization and efficient reviewing process.

Please keep organizers effort.

It is the fact that there are many sessions and many high level presentations.

Very interesting conference where participants generate a lot of new ideas.
Always NEW things and High quality in preinary talks in the morning.
The registration staff are so kind and the process caried so easy.
Right after the conference inform the selected best session paper for the SCI Journal publishing quickly.
secretariat and session organization
invited sessions
Very good organization and quality of the presented meetings.
The colorfulness and sometimes exoticness variousity of the topics presented at the conference can also be seen as positive and interesting for conference attendees who just want to learn about others research whithout having expectations on what the results could bring to their own research.Despite the huge amount of papers that have been accepted and had to be included into the conference the overall organization and communication with conference attendees and presenters was smooth and reliable. Thank you to the organizers!
Excellent organization
The discussion sessions on peer review were excellent. I hope that the conversations will continue past the conferernce.
It was a very good venue to meet scholars around the world and have academic exchanges.
The Organizing Committee worked perfectly. It was a great Conference Dinner. The location (Rosen Center) was excellent. Keep it up!
I think that the best is the opportunity to meet international researchers and their works.
Registration booth/ prompt email response
Plenary session with meal.
Always NEW things and High quality in preinary talks in the morning.
All the leaders of the conference are great friendly and encouraging!
Every thing was perfect during the last two years.
1. The review process is organized very competently.2. The registration desk service is good.
The possibility of virtual participation was good.
Fast review process. Also the selected papers shall be included the other famous journals as well as JSCI.
Outstanding organization
Level of automation in organizing the conference was generally good.
-
The website is convenient for us but there is some mistakes when submit information which needs to be improved.

Check-in was very smooth. Website was well organized. The attendees were from a very broad range of disciplines.

The recognition of the best papers for the session.

Providing a pen-laser-pointer in each registration packet was brilliant. Unfortunately the choice of manufacturer was less than brilliant as the pens easily broke in half and refused to stay in one piece. Perhaps just a quality laser-pointer next time? We all have writing pens already. Choice of Orlando for the conference is superb.

The international focus and diversity of topics is an important attribute of the conference.

Excellent organization

Best papers for each session being put into a journal. This is a major positive factor.

good communication with organizers

Good review mechanism.

I have not attended in the conference therefor i have no idea.

The review process involving more scholars from various regions of the world stands as an asset to consolidate and extend.

I like the organizational process and structure of the committees in the conference.

Well organized.

very good communication.

The leaders and participants are always kind and congenial.

P2P review

The conference organization is very good so not real complains about it.

I followed the conference but unfortunately I was not able to participate .I hope I will attend next time.

Good timing for reviewing and flexibility in topic choices

The reviewing process

Never attended in person

I think the conference BMIC was good organized and fulfilled assumed role.

NA I just participated as reviewer. However I appreciated a good and easy communication and web interaction during this process.

The papers were efficient.

online review is good

interdisciplinary and quality of the papers

-

the multidiscipline of the fields are the best things

This conference has tremendous contribution to its theme itself as an essential futuristic initiative on understanding global knowledge dissemination methods.